This is the author's version of the article published in Journal of Navigation

The article has been peer-reviewed, but does not include the publisher's layout, page numbers and proof-corrections

Citation for the published paper:

Bjerva, T. & Sigurjónsson, T. (2016). Wayfinding by Means of Maps in Real-world Settings: A Critical Review. Journal of navigation, 1-13. doi: 10.1017/S0373463316000643



Hedmark University College

Faculty of Public Health

BRAGE

Hedmark University College's Open Research Archive http://brage.bibsys.no/hhe/

Wayfinding by Means of Maps in Real-world Settings:

A Critical Review

Trine Bjerva and Thorsteinn Sigurjónsson

(Hedmark University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Public Health, Norway)

(E-mail: trine.bjerva@hihm.no)

This critical review addresses 26 studies of Wayfinding conducted in a real-world setting (large-scale), and with subjects using a map or an aerial photograph in their navigation. The purpose of the review is to summarise how previous surveys have been conducted and to provide some methodological advice for future research. Research design has consequently been the main priority, emphasizing methods, measures, participants, context and types of map. Both qualitative and quantitative studies should be initiated, but the lack of research is significant within quantitative studies, the link between laboratory-based and real-world studies, studies where the subjects have been children with map experience and adult novices, and studies conducted in complex settings. Type of map appears to be an important determinant of performance and should be varied according to age and level of skills. Alignment of a map could be a possible source of error.

KEYWORDS

- 1. Wayfinding.
- 2. Real-world settings.
- 3. Maps.
- 4. Research design.
- 1. INTRODUCTION. Coaches and participants in the competitive sport of orienteering have particular interests in wayfinding, but wayfinding is also relevant in an educational context, both in schools and the voluntary sector, and may even be of public interest as a common task in human everyday life (Brunyé, Mahoney, Gardony and Taylor, 2010). Wayfinding refers to the ability to navigate effectively (Brunyé et al., 2010) and in an unfamiliar environment. External aids may be utilised such as signs or a map. It is also permissible to ask

for directions. Previous research on wayfinding includes both laboratory-based experiments as well as studies which have been conducted in a real-world setting (Amedeo, Golledge and Stimson, 2009). However, there has been some debate about the extent to which laboratory-based findings can be replicated in real-world settings (Malinowski and Gillespie, 2001; Montello, Lovelace, Golledge and Self, 1999; Tlauka, Williams and Williamson, 2008). Malinowski and Gillespie (2001) argue that there is little research carried out in real-world settings and involving complex tasks.

Despite this, the number of studies on wayfinding employing large-scale maps in real-world settings has increased. The aim of this paper is to provide a critical review of methodological aspects of these topical studies. A precursor to the present review was made by Ottosson (1996) focusing on theoretical foundations and methodological approaches in studies of cognition in orienteering. The present review addresses the methods used, what has been measured, who were the subjects, the settings in which the studies were conducted and finally, the types of map employed. On this basis we examine previous research within this specific topic. Finally we provide some methodological advice regarding future research – which kinds of study can fill the gaps that may be found in previous research.

In the following, the process of wayfinding is initially reviewed. Thereafter, we take a closer look at maps, particularly symbolic and pictorial maps. Finally, we examine previous research, categorized into different areas of interest.

1.1 The Process of Wayfinding. Wayfinding comprises three stages: cognitive mapping, wayfinding plan development, and physical movement (Arthur and Passini, 2002; Chen and Stanney, 1999; Passini, 1992). During the cognitive mapping stages, individuals develop an understanding of the world around them. Thereafter they plan actions and structure them into a wayfinding plan (Murakoshi, 1997), and finally they navigate their way through the environment (Chen and Stanney, 1999). Successful wayfinding involves an interaction between human and environmental factors (Farr, Kleinschmidt, Yarlagadda and Mengersen, 2012). Human elements associated with wayfinding are age, gender, cognitive development, perceptual capability, spatial ability, and mental and physical conditions, while size, luminosity, signage and structure are essential features of the environment (Farr et al., 2012).

Human wayfinding in a natural environment has been practised for thousands of years using various aids to guidance such as stars, sextants, maps, the compass – and more recently, global positioning systems (GPS) (Fewings, 2001). Yet research on how people use this guidance for wayfinding has been limited (Blades, 1997). The availability of relevant information about the

environment is an important factor in the process of decision-making (Casakin, Barkowsky, Klippel and Freksa, 2000). Maps play a key role in wayfinding in unfamiliar environments (Blades and Spencer, 1990; Casakin et al., 2000; Sigurjónsson, 2007), but previous research has mainly been based on mental representations (Acredolo and Boulter, 1984; Allen and Ondaracek, 1995; Anooshian and Young, 1981; Choeen, Baldwin and Sherman, 1978; Downs and Stea, 1977; Montello et al., 1999), and 'not upon "real", concurrently available physical representations' (Liben, Kastens and Stevenson, 2002, P. 273). The concept map can thus be used in many contexts and is studied more closely in the following section.

1.2 *Maps*. There are several different types of map, but whatever specific purpose a map has, it must represent reality. Maps can be seen as being derived from an aerial view, and are spatially isomorphic and represent reality. Although mastering symbols is a universal task, it is not an easy one (DeLoache, Pierroutsakos and Uttal, 2003). A symbolic map is an artificial construction on which an interpretation can be performed, but only by understanding or accepting the conventions which underlie it. Interacting with a symbolic map can be very difficult, especially for beginners, and relatively little is known about how maps may be created which are appropriate for different age groups and for those with varying degrees of experience (Wiegand, 2006). For example, the use of aerial photographs may be a suitable approach for learning the symbolic map (Plester, Blades and Spencer, 2003). In this way, beginners (children or adults) can interact with the 'map', even at an early stage in the learning process, and develop an understanding of the world, plan their actions and become experienced with physical movement in the environment (Sigurjónsson, 2007).

Navigation is affected by the way the map represents the reality. This can be the reason for either success or failure in the process of wayfinding (Downs and Stea, 1977). One of the challenges in constructing maps is establishing clear relationships between detailed information found in the environment and conceptual structures contained in the map (Casakin et al., 2000). The aim is for even the youngest children, as well as for older inexperienced beginners, to be able to understand and use the map without prior instruction (Downs, Liben and Daggs, 1988; Stea, Kerkman, Piñon, Middlebrook and Rice, 2004). The relationship between a map and reality becomes more comprehensible when the symbol is similar to the referent (Casakin et al., 2000), and when it is easier to understand things that are experienced as specific and logical. Previous research suggests that it is easier to view an area from an oblique angle than it is to imagine how it will look from above (Downs et al., 1988; Plester, Richards, Blades and Spencer, 2002; Robison and Spodek, 1965; Sigurjónsson, 2007). A pictorial map, where the environment

is viewed at an oblique angle, provides much of the same information available from the real world (DeLoache et al., 2003), and makes it possible to recognize details without further interpretation. Especially in the context of education, pictorial maps offer great support for wayfinding (Sigurjónsson, 2007; Wiegand, 2006).

- 1.3 Previous Research. According to Blades and Spencer (1994) previous research on map comprehension can be categorized into four different areas of interest. Cartographic research has been concerned primarily with aspects of map design, involving almost exclusively adult subjects (Blades and Spencer, 1994). Educational research has had two main aims: to establish the ages when children acquire different map concepts, and to design more effective teaching programmes (Wiegand, 2006). Orienteering research has often been concerned with orienteers' map-using abilities, and where most of this work has been carried out among highly experienced adult orienteers (Brosset, Claramunt and Saux, 2008; Johansen, 1997; Ottosson, 1984). Finally, psychological research has focused on how both children and adults express their environmental knowledge through drawing sketch maps or constructing models, or how visually impaired children use representations to learn about their environment (Blades and Spencer, 1994).
- 2. METHOD. The method used in this review draws upon a similar methodology as with a systematic review. The papers were collected using *Web of Science* (international database), *Science Direct* (database of scientific papers from the publisher Elsevier), *SportDiscus* (international database) and *Norart* (database of scientific papers in Norwegian and Nordic journals). The following keywords were used in the search; 'wayfinding', 'navigation', 'map', 'real-world', 'natural environment', 'orientate', 'orienteer' and 'orienteering'. The same search terms in Norwegian were used in relation to the database *Norart*. A few papers, especially the older ones, were only found by following the references in recent papers. It may be that some studies relevant to this review have been omitted. Studies reported in the grey literature or in other languages are those which are most likely to have been overlooked. However, all studies that met the following inclusion criteria were contained within the review. These comprised:
- Quantitative and qualitative methods
- Subjects of all ages and experience
- Studies using maps and/or aerial photographs
- Studies conducted in large-scale, real-world settings

- Studies reported in English, Norwegian or Swedish languages
- Period included: January 1984 December 2013.

Experiments with human subjects in the real world are very time-consuming (Amedeo et al., 2009). Consequently, it was important to include all relevant studies based on both qualitative and quantitative methods, even if the quantitative studies had relatively few subjects. All ages and experience were included because studies have shown that even small children can use a map, while many adults are neither competent nor confident in using maps in the environment (Blades and Spencer, 1986, 1987; Gerber and Kwan, 1994; Vaagbø, 1997; Wiegand, 2006). Based on previous experience (Bjerva, Græsli and Sigurjónsson, 2011; Bjerva, Solbakken and Sigurjónsson, 2008; Sigurjónsson, 2007), and the aim of summarising and comparing data, only those studies that had used maps and/or aerial photographs were included in the review.

Due to the fact that use of maps is difficult to test in a small-scale environment only studies conducted on a large-scale and real-world settings were included (Blades, 1997). In this context, large-scale, real-world settings are defined as natural environments outside buildings, with permanent landmarks. Studies where an experimenter has arranged the environment, as for example in the case of studies which have employed a maze (Blades and Spencer, 1986), are not therefore included in this definition.

Only relevant studies reported in English, Norwegian or Swedish are included. Relevant studies reported in Norwegian or Swedish are incorporated on the basis of the authors' nationality. There are, most likely, relevant studies reported in other languages, and this is acknowledged as a limitation in this study. Research in this area seems to have started in earnest in the 1980s, and therefore this critical review goes back to 1984 in order to include Ottoson's contributions to this topic and methodological approach (Ottosson, 1984, 1987).

To reduce the risk of subjective assessments, the retrieved studies were reviewed by two persons independently.

3. RESULTS.

3.1 Methods.

Table 1 summarises the methods used in 26 studies on wayfinding using maps and/or aerial photographs in large-scale, real-world settings.

Table 1. Summary of methods used in each of the wayfinding studies that were reviewed for the present paper.

| | Methods | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Study | Field mapping experiment | Questionnaire | Interview | Observation | Intervention | Laboratory test | | |
| Bjerva et al. (2011) | * | | | | | | | |
| Bjerva et al. (2008) | * | | 1 × 1 * v | | * | | | |
| Blades and Spencer (1986) | * | | N N | | - | | | |
| Brosset et al. (2008) | * | | * | | | | | |
| Burke et al. (2012) | * | | 10 20 | | | | | |
| Cych and Krutki (2007) | * | | | * | 1 12 | | | |
| Eccles et al. (2006) | * | | | * | | | | |
| Gerber and Kwan (1994) | * | | * | | | | | |
| Johansen (1997) | * | | | * | in a Ci | | | |
| Jovignot (1995) | * | | P | | * | * | | |
| Kaarby (1997) | * | | | | | | | |
| Kastens and Liben (2010) | * | * | | | | | | |
| Liben et al. (2013) | * | | | | 1 the table | * | | |
| Liben et al. (2010) | * | * | | | | * | | |
| Malinowski (2001) | * | | | | | | | |
| Malinowski and Gillespie | * | | | | 2 40 | | | |
| (2001) | | | | | | | | |
| Macquet et al. (2012) | * | | * | * | | | | |
| Ottosson (1987) | * | | * | * | | | | |
| Ottosson (1984) | * | | * | | | | | |
| Ottosson and Eckermark (1985) | * | | * | * | | | | |
| Plester et al. (2003) | * | | * | | | | | |
| Plester et al. (2002) | * | | * | | | | | |
| Sigurjónsson (2007) | * | | | * | | | | |

| Soh and Smith-Jackson (2004) | * | * | * | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----|--|
| Stea et al. (2004) | * | | | | = - | |
| Walsh and Martland (1996) | * | | | * | * | |

Both qualitative and quantitative studies are represented; the majority of studies are of a qualitative nature. Although experiments with human participants in the real world are time-consuming (Amedeo et al., 2009), more quantitative research should be conducted. There are, for example, only three studies that have used questionnaires combined with field experiments. It is perhaps natural that studies are based on interviews and/or observations rather than questionnaires when the number of respondents is relatively few, but questionnaires in combination with standardized experiments in the field provide an opportunity to increase the number of informants and thus move towards more quantitative research. This would be an important contribution to research within this specific field of interest. Studies based on an intervention are also very limited but, for example, could be highly relevant in order to design more effective teaching programs (Wiegand, 2006).

Only 3 of the 26 studies have combined experiments in the field with laboratory tests. This should be a focus of further research based on the disagreement about the extent to which laboratory-based findings might be replicated in real-world settings (Malinowski and Gillespie, 2001; Montello et al., 1999; Tlauka et al., 2008). Testing the same skill in the laboratory and in real-world settings will provide valuable input to this debate.

3.2 Measures.

Table 2 summarises the measures used in 26 studies on wayfinding using maps and/or aerial photographs in large-scale, real-world settings.

Table 2. Summary of the metrics used in each of the wayfinding studies that were reviewed for the present paper.

| | = | |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Performance | Behaviour | Cognition |

| | | | | | | | ď | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|----------|------------|
| Study | Identification and | Orientation and | Time taken | Physical action | Route-learning | Route-choice | Strategy | Reflection |
| Bjerva et al. (2011) | * | | * | | | | * | |
| Bjerva et al. (2008) | * | * | | | | | 2 | |
| Blades and Spencer (1986) | | | | | * | | 1 - 1 | |
| Brosset et al. (2008) | , a | | | | | | * | |
| Burke et al. (2012) | Po | | * | | - ' - | * | | |
| Cych and Krutki (2007) | ,- | | | * | × | | * | |
| Eccles et al. (2006) | - | | * | | | | * | |
| Gerber and Kwan (1994) | 2 | | | | * | | | |
| Johansen (1997) | | | -1 1 - | | | | * | * |
| Jovignot (1995) | * | | | | | * | | |
| Kaarby (1997) | * | | | | | | | |
| Kastens and Liben (2010) | * | | E gi | | | | * | |
| Liben et al. (2013) | 1.0 | | | | | | 11 | |
| Liben et al. (2010) | * | * | | | | | * | |
| Malinowski (2001) | * | | * | | | | | |
| Malinowski and Gillespie | * | | * | | - | | | |
| (2001) | e N | | 7,8 | | 3 11 2 | | | |
| Macquet et al. (2012) | | | - 11 A = | | 15. | | * | * |
| Ottosson (1987) | * | * | | * | | | - | * |
| Ottosson (1984) | | | | | | * | * | |
| Ottosson and Eckermark (1985) | | | | * | | * | * | |
| Plester et al. (2003) | * | | | | | | X.I. | |
| Plester et al. (2002) | * | | | | | | - 2 | |
| Sigurjónsson (2007) | * | | | * | | * | V. | * |
| Soh and Smith-Jackson (2004) | | | * | | * | | | * |
| Stea et al. (2004) | * | | * | | 1 | | 1, 1 | |
| Walsh and Martland (1996) | | | | | | | * | |

Most of the research has concentrated on measures of performance: letting participants find specific locations in the environment and/or finding specific locations on a map. Finding locations in the environment has been the most common, and includes specific landmarks, hidden objects or markers. In the competitive sport of orienteering, orange and white markers (called control flags) are used, and placed at specific landmarks in the environment. The participant confirms that the control points have been visited in the correct order by punching an electronic chip at a registration unit placed at the control point (Eccles, Walsh and Ingledew, 2002; Ottosson, 1987). Most of orienteering research (Blade and Spencer, 1994) has used similar design as the competitive sport of orienteering. Finding a specific landmark is somehow different from finding markers or other items which are situated in the environment for the purpose of the research. Therefore, use of markers can be a possible source of error because subjects can be tempted to randomly search out the markers, removing the focus away from the map-reading. This is perhaps more applicable if the subjects are inexperienced adults or children. Some researchers have taken this into account and consciously omitted using markers in the terrain (Ottosson, 1987; Sigurjónsson, 2007).

Measures of performance are generally associated with success or failure in finding specific locations, but some studies have also focused on orientation and directions (for example aligning a map) and also time used to find the locations. Time used to solve a wayfinding task can be interesting not least in relation to age and sex. In this context it is useful to pay attention to the electronic timer system used in the competitive sport of orienteering. An electronic system provides options for looking more closely at various aspects of wayfinding, such as different wayfinding strategies. Orienteering research (Blades and Spencer, 1994) has benefited from this (Burke et al., 2012), but may also successfully be applied in other areas such as educational research (Blades and Spencer, 1994; Wiegand, 2006).

Furthermore a few studies have observed participants' physical behaviour either by 'shadowing' the participants in the terrain (Gerber and Kwan, 1994; Ottosson, 1987; Sigurjónsson, 2007) and/or using a head-mounted video camera (Eccles et al., 2006; Macquet et al., 2012; Sigurjónsson, 2007). To 'shadow' a practitioner is familiar to coaches in the competitive sport of orienteering, but experimental procedures like these are time-consuming and require resources and close supervision (Amedeo et al., 2009).

To investigate cognition in relation to wayfinding we find studies of route choice, route learning, strategies for wayfinding and reflections. Investigating strategies are most common in studies of cognition in wayfinding, but since the majority of studies involve experienced

orienteers it could be interesting to move the focus towards children and inexperienced adults. A 'think aloud' technique to reveal the participant's reflections (reason for action) has also been used in a limited number of wayfinding studies (Eccles et al., 2006; Johansen, 1997; Ottosson, 1984; Walsh and Martland, 1996). This method employs use of a microphone and instructing the participants to verbalize what they are thinking during the wayfinding. Talking loudly to oneself can be experienced as 'unnatural' and children may find it difficult to verbalize their thoughts. In spite of these challenges the 'think aloud' technique has been used also with children by recording the conversation between two children while they solved a wayfinding task together (Sigurjónsson, 2007).

3.3 Subjects, Context and Maps.

Table 3 summarises the subjects, context and maps used in 26 studies on wayfinding conducted in large-scale, real-world settings.

Table 3. Summary of the subjects, context and maps used in each of the wayfinding studies that were reviewed for the present paper.

| | Li Li | 2-1-1-1-1 | | | | | | = 2 |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | | Subjects | 5 | Context | | M | ap | |
| | | · 7, | , t | | | | 3 | |
| Study | Children (3 -12 years old) | Adults (from 16 years old) | Experienced orienteers | School playground/ campus | Parkland/ suburban area | Forest area | Pictorial map/ aerial photograph | Symbolic map |
| Bjerva et al. (2011) | * | i de la filo | 3 - 22 | * | | | * | |
| Bjerva et al. (2008) | * | y files | en de la | | * | | | * |
| Blades and Spencer (1986) | 2 | * | | | * | | | * |
| Brosset et al. (2008) | | 1 1 | * | | | * | | * |
| Burke et al. (2012) | 4 BA 14 | | * | | | * | Alar . | * |

| Cych and Krutki (2007) | e 1 | | * | | | * | | * |
|------------------------------------|--------|---------|-------|---|----|---|---|-----------|
| Eccles et al. (2006) | = | | * | | * | | | * |
| Gerber and Kwan (1994) | * | | | | * | | | * |
| Johansen (1997) | | | * | | | * | - | * |
| Jovignot (1995) | * | dia est | | * | ă. | | | * |
| Kaarby (1997) | * | | | | * | | * | % H |
| Kastens and Liben (2010) | * | | | | * | | 1 | * |
| Liben et al. (2013) | * | | | * | | | | * |
| Liben et al. (2010) | | * | | * | | | | * |
| Malinowski (2001) | | * | | | | * | | * |
| Malinowski and Gillespie (2001) | 2 2 2 | * | | | | * | | * |
| Macquet et al. (2012) | | 0 = 4 | * | | | * | | * |
| Ottosson (1987) | * | | =" | * | * | | = | * |
| Ottosson (1984) | . 1.1. | 15 - | * | | | * | 2 | * |
| Ottosson and Eckermark (1985) | | E * | * | | | * | | * |
| Plester et al. (2003) | * | | | * | | | * | W 100 200 |
| Plester et al. (2002) | * | 31 / / | | * | | | * | |
| Sigurjónsson (2007) | * | | | * | | * | * | * |
| Soh and Smith-Jackson (2004) | | * | =, | | * | | | * |
| Stea et al. (2004) | * | | e e | | * | | * | |
| Walsh and Martland (1996) | * | = 1 | n , n | * | * | | | * |

Subjects used in studies of wayfinding differ both in age and experience. Age is an interesting variable in wayfinding (Farr et al., 2012). Half of the 26 studies in the present review were conducted with children of different ages. This is slightly different to laboratory-based studies on wayfinding where adult subjects are most common (Blades and Spencer, 1994). Furthermore, most of the relevant studies were conducted on either inexperienced children or experienced adult orienteers. Research on wayfinding with inexperienced adults is very limited. Given that many adults are neither competent nor confident when using maps in the environment (Blades and Spencer, 1986, 1987; Gerber and Kwan, 1994; Vaagbø, 1997;

Wiegand, 2006), this is a potential area for future research along with studies on children who are experienced with wayfinding.

One of the three processes of wayfinding is decision-making (Chen and Stanney, 1999), which, among others, is dependent on human elements. Gender is such a human element (Farr et al., 2012) where gender was shown to be a significant predictor of wayfinding performance by some researchers (Malinowski and Gillespie, 2001). Most studies on wayfinding using maps in large-scale, real-world settings have a mixed sample of both genders, but very few have focused specifically on gender differences in wayfinding. Many laboratory-based studies have had this particular focus (Coluccia and Louse, 2004). The interesting thing here is the extent to which results based on an ecological approach correlate with the large number of laboratory-based results that already exist showing that males outperform females in spatial tasks (Liben, 2006; Wiegand, 2006).

Wayfinding in unfamiliar environments requires external aids (Blades, 1997), and therefore unfamiliar environments are most prevalent when wayfinding by the means of maps is investigated. The majority of studies however, have been conducted in familiar environments (Walsh and Martland, 1996) such as neighbouring areas which are usually adjacent to a school. These areas are often limited defined spaces with relatively few landmarks compared to forest areas. Apart from the research on experienced orienteers, there are two studies of novices conducted in forest areas. The study by Sigurjónsson (2007) who observed children's wayfinding in both a school playground and a forest area, and the study by Malinowski and Gillespie (2001) who investigated students' wayfinding in a forest area. The level of difficulty in a wayfinding task is closely related to the complexity of the context. We therefore agree with Malinowski and Gillespie (2001), who claim that there is a lack of research into real-world settings involving complex tasks. Future studies, might therefore pay more attention to complex settings such as forest areas, first because little such research has been conducted hitherto, and secondly because investigating more complex tasks can reveal additional aspects of wayfinding.

In the early studies, the use of symbolic maps was most prevalent, but even symbolic maps can differ. A manipulated symbolic map, for example, where specific symbols or colours are removed, creates opportunities for innovative research designs such as Johansen's study (1997) of cognition in orienteering. Nevertheless, successful wayfinding with the guidance of a symbolic map requires mastering symbols, and this is a difficult task (DeLoache et al., 2003). Pictorial maps may also be successfully used in research with adults, preferably for those who are inexperienced in map-reading. There are also examples of studies which have used aerial

photographs (Plester et al., 2002, 2003). There is a clear difference between a photograph and a map (Keates, 1989), but photographs may be a suitable approach to enhance map-reading (Plester et al., 2003) especially in studies with children. The angle with which a map is viewed is also relevant in this context. A symbolic map is seen from above (vertical angle), while a pictorial map or an aerial photograph can be seen from either vertically or an oblique angle. There is a general perception that a pictorial map presented at an oblique angle is easier to interpret than when viewed vertically (Downs et al., 1988; Plester et al., 2002; Robison and Spodek, 1965; Sigurjónsson, 2007). Recent studies have predominantly used pictorial maps with an oblique angle.

Using maps for wayfinding, involves the ability to relate the map to the environment it represents. This can be a particularly difficult task if the map and the environment are not aligned (Blades and Spencer, 1990; Vosmik and Presson, 2004). A prerequisite for successful wayfinding is always to keep the map aligned, and those who are unable to obtain information about various features on the map often fail to align the map. Consequently, they have difficulty in determining their position on the map and in which direction they should move (Sigurjónsson, 2007). Misalignment can therefore be the reason why individuals do not succeed in their wayfinding (Fewings, 2001). On the other hand, a perfectly aligned map can be the reason for success even if the ability to read a map is limited. Children especially are likely to have difficulties with using a map if it is not accurately aligned with the environment (Blades and Spencer, 1990; Blaut, 1997; Vosmik and Presson, 2004). But even maps intended for adults (for example maps in airports or shopping centres) are often pre-aligned to make them easier to understand (Fewings, 2001). Map alignment has been the main focus in only a few studies (Bjerva et al., 2008; Ottosson, 1987; Sigurjónsson, 2007).

4. CONCLUSIONS. Research related to wayfinding using maps in large-scale, real-world settings is limited in number and scope. In spite of this, many different methods and measures of performance have been examined. Thus, few studies can therefore be directly compared (Blades and Spencer, 1994; Coluccia and Louse, 2004). Nevertheless, this critical review has sought to summarise how these studies have been conducted and, on this basis, provide some methodological input in relation to further research.

Regardless of methodological challenges, there is both a need for more quantitative studies to make assertions about entire populations alongside qualitative studies to obtain further knowledge about human behaviour and cognition in relation to wayfinding. The majority of

previous studies are of an exploratory character, but regarding the limited amount of research overall, there is still a need for more such studies.

There are surprisingly few studies which have focused specifically on the link between laboratory-based findings and findings from experiments in real-world settings. Scientists disagree about the extent to which laboratory-based findings might be replicated in real-world settings (Malinowski and Gillespie, 2001; Montello et al., 1999; Tlauka et al., 2008), and testing the same skill in laboratory and in real-world settings should be of special interest in future research. Use of interventions is another methodological issue that may be valuable in relation to further research. For example, in the quest to find the best way to teach wayfinding in schools, interventions may be a feasible approach.

Boys and girls, children and adults, experts and novices should be the subject of further investigations. In particular, research on experienced children and unexperienced adults is very limited. Another area of special interest is the context in which the experiment takes place. We have argued that future research advantageously should involve more complex settings such as forest areas (Malinowski and Gillespie, 2001). Finally, the type of map appears to be an important determinant of performance (Sigurjónsson, 2007), and is therefore very central in investigating individuals in different life-stages and with varying experiences. If the subjects are experienced, a symbolic map is probably a good choice, but if the subjects are inexperienced, there are other types of maps and even photographs that can be more suitable. A map should be an appropriate tool, experienced as an aid and not a constraining factor for the completion of the task.

It is challenging to conduct research under controlled conditions in the real world, and a basic rule should be to avoid as many potential sources of error as possible. In this context, we have argued that the use of markers could be a possible source of error. Another potential source of error is alignment of map. Researchers should take into account both these factors when designing new experiments.

Wayfinding is an exceedingly common task in human everyday life, and maps can play a key role in wayfinding in unfamiliar environments. The ability to interpret map symbols and understand the relationship between the map and the environment is a very complex activity (Blades and Spencer, 1987; Keates, 1996; Sigurjónsson, 2007). There is therefore a need for further research using an ecological approach based on different types of maps.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Prof. Miranda Thurston for her helpful comments on earlier draft of this paper. The reviewers are thanked for their comments which have improved the paper. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

- Acredolo, L. P. and Boulter, L. T. (1984). Effects of hierarchical organization on children's judgements of distance and direction. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *37*, 409–425.
- Allen, G. L. and Ondaracek, P. J. (1995). Age-sensitive cognitive abilities related to children's acquisition of spatial knowledge. *Developmental Psychology*, *31*, 934–945.
- Amedeo, D., Golledge, R. G. and Stimson, R. J. (2009). *Person–environment–behavior research: investigating activities in spaces and environments*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Anooshian, L. J. and Young, D. (1981). Developmental changes in cognitive maps of a familiar neighborhood. *Child Development*, *52*, 341–348.
- Arthur, P. and Passini, R. (2002). *Wayfinding: people, signs, and architecture*. Oakville, Ont.: Focus.
- Bjerva, T., Græsli, J. A. and Sigurjónsson, T. (2011). Barns kommunikasjon med ulike typer kart en progressiv tilnærming til kartlesing. *Acta Didactica Norge*, *5*(1), 18.
- Bjerva, T., Solbakken, T. and Sigurjónsson, T. (2008). Spiller det noen rolle hvor barn lærer?: Orientering som eksempel. *Nordisk Pedagogik*, *1*, 63–72.
- Blades, M. (1997). Research Paradigms and Methodologies for Investigating Children's Wayfinding. I N. Foreman and R. Gillett (Red.), *Handbook of Spatial Research Paradigms and Methodologies*. Hove: Psychology Press.
- Blades, M. and Spencer, C. (1986). Map use in the environment and educating children to use maps. *Environmental Ecucation and Information*, *5*, 187–204.
- Blades, M. and Spencer, C. (1987). How do people use maps to navigate through the world. *Cartographica*, *24*, 64–75.

- Blades, M. and Spencer, C. (1990). The development of 3 to 6-year-olds' map using ability: The relative importance of landmarks and. *Journal of Genetic Psychology*, 151(2), 181.
- Blades, M. and Spencer, C. (1994). The development of children's ability to use spatial representation. *Advances in Child Development and Behavior*, *25*, 157–199.
- Blaut, J. M. (1997). The mapping abilities of young children: Children can. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 1(87), 152–158.
- Brosset, D., Claramunt, C. and Saux, E. (2008). Wayfinding in Natural and Urban Environments: A Comparative Study. *Cartographica*, *43*(1), 21–30.
- Brunyé, T. T., Mahoney, C. R., Gardony, A. L. and Taylor, H. A. (2010). North is up(hill): Route planning heuristics in real-world environments. *Memory and Cognition*, 38(6), 700–712.
- Burke, A., Kandler, A. and Good, D. (2012). Women Who Know Their Place Sex-Based Differences in Spatial Abilities and Their Evolutionary Significance. *Human Nature-an Interdisciplinary Biosocial Perspective*, 23(2), 133–148.
- Casakin, H., Barkowsky, T., Klippel, A. and Freksa, C. (2000). Schematic maps as wayfinding aids. *Spatial Cognition II*, 54–71.
- Chen, J. L. and Stanney, K. M. (1999). A Theoretical Model of Wayfinding in Virtual Environments: Proposed Strategies for. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, 8(6), 671.
- Choeen, R., Baldwin, L. M. and Sherman, R. C. (1978). Cognitive maps of a naturalistic setting. *Child Development*, 49, 1216–1218.
- Coluccia, E. and Louse, G. (2004). Gender differences in spatial orientation: A review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 24(3), 329–340.
- Cych, P. and Krutki, P. (2007). *Structure of activities in children's orienteering*. Paper presented at the Scientific Conference: Physical Education and Sport in Scientific Research', Poznan', Poland, 24 May 2007.
- DeLoache, J. S., Pierroutsakos, S. L. and Uttal, D. H. (2003). The origins of pictorial competence. *Current Directions in Psychological Science (Wiley-Blackwell)*, 12(4), 114.
- Downs, R. M., Liben, L. S. and Daggs, D. (1988). On education and geographers: The role of cognitive developmental theory in geographic education. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 78, 680–700.

- Downs, R. M. and Stea, D. (1977). *Maps in minds: reflections on cognitive mapping*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Eccles, D. W., Walsh, S. E. and Ingledew, D. K. (2002). The use of heuristics during route planning by expert and novice orienteers. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 20(4), 327–337.
- Eccles, D. W., Walsh, S. E. and Ingledew, D. K. (2006). Visual attention in orienteers at different levels of experience. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24(1), 77–87.
- Farr, A. C., Kleinschmidt, T., Yarlagadda, P. and Mengersen, K. (2012). Wayfinding: A simple concept, a complex process. *Transport Reviews*, *32*(6), 715–743.
- Fewings, R. (2001). Wayfinding and airport terminal design. *Journal of Navigation*, 54(2), 177–184.
- Gerber, R. and Kwan, T. (1994). A phenomenographical approach to the study of preadolescents' use of maps in a wayfinding exercise in a suburban environment. *Journal* of Environmental Psychology, 14(4), 265–280.
- Johansen, B. T. (1997). Thinking in Orienteering. *Scientific Journal of Orienteering*, 13(1), 38–46.
- Jovignot, F. (1995). Can 5–6 Year Old Children Orientate Themselves in a Cave? *Scientific Journal of Orienteering*, 11(2), 64–75.
- Kaarby, K. M. E. (1997). *Barns kartoppfatning : En fenomenografisk analyse av hvordan* barn i alderen 6–8 år oppfatter kart. Oslo: Norges idrettshøgskole.
- Kastens, K. A. and Liben, L. S. (2010). Children's strategies and difficulties while using a map to record locations in an outdoor environment. [Article]. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 19(4), 315–340. doi: 10.1080/10382046.2010.519151
- Keates, J. S. (1989). Cartographic design and production (2.ed. utg.). Harlow: Longman.
- Keates, J. S. (1996). *Understanding maps* (2nd utg.). Harlow: Longman.
- Liben, L. S. (2006). Education for Spatial Thinking. I K. A. Renninger and I. E. Sigel (Red.), *Handbook of child psychology* (Vol. Vol. 4, s. XXIX, 1073 s.). Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley and Sons.
- Liben, L. S., Kastens, K. and Stevenson, L. (2002). Real-world knowledge through real-world maps: A developmental guide for navigating the educational terrain. *Developmental Review*, 22, 267–322.
- Liben, L. S., Myers, L. J. and Christensen, A. E. (2010). Identifying locations and directions on field and representational mapping tasks: Predictors of success. *Spatial Cognition and Computation*, 10(2–3), 105–134.

- Liben, L. S., Myers, L. J., Christensen, A. E. and Bower, C. A. (2013). Environmental-Scale Map Use in Middle Childhood: Links to Spatial Skills, Strategies, and Gender. [Article]. *Child Development*, 84(6), 2047–2063. doi: 10.1111/cdev.12090
- Macquet, A.-C., Eccles, D. W. and Barraux, E. (2012). What makes an orienteer an expert? A case study of a highly elite orienteer's concerns in the course of competition. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(1), 91–99.
- Malinowski, J. C. (2001). Mental rotation and real-world wayfinding. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 92(1), 19–30.
- Malinowski, J. C. and Gillespie, W. T. (2001). Individual differences in performance on a large-scale, real-world wayfinding task. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 21, 73–82.
- Montello, D. R., Lovelace, K. L., Golledge, R. G. and Self, C. M. (1999). Sex-related differences and similarities in geographic and environmental spatial abilities. *Annals* of the Association of American Geographers, 89, 515–534.
- Murakoshi, S. (1997). Navigational Planning in Orienteering. *The Journal of Navigation*, 50(02), 321–327. doi: doi:10.1017/S0373463300023948
- Ottosson, T. (1984). *Problemlösningsstrategier i orientering: en skiss till teoretisk ram och en metodstudie* (Vol. 1984:1). Mölndal.
- Ottosson, T. (1987). Map-reading and wayfinding. Universitetet, Göteborg.
- Ottosson, T. (1996). Cognition in orienteering: Theoretical perspectives and methods of study. *Scientific Journal of Orienteering*, 12, 66–72.
- Ottosson, T. and Eckermark, R. (1985). Map-reading in Orienteering Partial Report. Scientific Journal of Orienteering, 37.
- Passini, R. (1992). Wayfinding in architecture. New York: Van Nostrand.
- Plester, B., Blades, M. and Spencer, C. (2003). Children's Understanding of Aerial Photographs. *Children's Geographies*, 1(2), 281.
- Plester, B., Richards, J., Blades, M. and Spencer, C. (2002). Young Childrens's Ability to use Aerial Photographs as Maps *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 22(1–2), 29–47.
- Robison, H. F. and Spodek, B. (1965). *New directions in the kindergarten*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Sigurjónsson, T. (2007). Barns kartlesing: et samspill mellom kartleser, kart og terreng. Norges idrettshøgskole, Oslo.

- Soh, B. K. and Smith-Jackson, T. L. (2004). Influence of Map Design, Individual Differences, and Environmental Cues on Wayfinding Performance. *Spatial Cognition and Computation*, 4(2), 137–165. doi: 10.1207/s15427633scc0402_2
- Stea, D., Kerkman, D. D., Piñon, M. F., Middlebrook, N. N. and Rice, J. L. (2004).

 Preschoolers use maps to find a hidden object outdoors. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 24(3), 341–345.
- Tlauka, M., Williams, J. and Williamson, P. (2008). Spatial ability in secondary school students: Intra-sex differences based on self-selection for physical education. *British Journal of Psychology*, *99*, 427–440.
- Vaagbø, O. (1997). Bruk av kart og kompass i befolkningen. *MMI undersøkelse utarbeidet for FRIFO,Oslo*.
- Vosmik, J. R. and Presson, C. C. (2004). Children's Response to Natural Map Misalignment During Wayfinding. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, *5*(3), 317–336. doi: 10.1207/s15327647jcd0503_2
- Walsh, S. and Martland, J. (1996). Orientation and the young orienteer. *Journal of Navigation*, 49(01), 72–76.
- Wiegand, P. (2006). Learning and teaching with maps. London: Routledge.