



Hedmark University College

Faculty of applied ecology and agriculture

BRAGE

Hedmark University College's Open Research Archive

<http://brage.bibsys.no/hhe/>

This is the author's version of the article published in

Canadian Journal of Zoology

The article has been peer-reviewed, but does not include the publisher's layout, page numbers and proof-corrections

Citation for the published paper:

Painer, J., Zedrosser, A., Arnemo, J. M., Fahlman, Å., Brunberg, S., Segerstrøm, P., & Swenson, J. E. (2012). Effects of different doses of medetomidine and tiletamine-zolazepam on the duration of induction and immobilization in free-ranging yearling brown bears (*Ursus arctos*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 90(6), 753-757.

<http://dx.doi.org10.1139/z2012-046>

1 **EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT DOSES OF MEDETOMIDINE AND TILETAMINE - ZOLAZEPAM ON THE**
2 **DURATION OF INDUCTION TIME AND IMMOBILIZATION IN FREE-RANGING YEARLING BROWN**
3 **BEARS (*URSUS ARCTOS*)**

4 Johanna Painer¹, Andreas Zedrosser^{2,3}, Jon M. Arnemo^{4,5}, Åsa Fahlman^{6,7}, Sven Brunberg⁸,
5 Peter Segerström⁸, Jon E. Swenson^{9,10}

6
7 ¹current address: Leibniz-Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Alfred-Kowalke Straße 17,
8 10315 Berlin, Germany. painer@izw-berlin.de

9 ²Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Environmental and Health Studies, Telemark
10 University College, N-3800 Bø i Telemark, Norway. andreas.zedrosser@hit.no

11 ³Department of Integrative Biology and Biodiversity Research, Institute of Wildlife Biology
12 and Game Management, University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences
13 Vienna, Gregor-Mendel-Str. 33, 1180 Vienna, Austria.

14 ⁴Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, Hedmark University College, Campus
15 Evenstad, NO-2418, Elverum, Norway . jon.arnemo@hihm.no

16 ⁵Department of Wildlife, Fish, and Environmental Studies, Swedish University of
17 Agricultural Sciences, SE-901 83 Umeå, Sweden

18 ⁶Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, P.O.
19 Box 7054, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden. asa_fahlman@hotmail.com

20 ⁷Department of Veterinary Clinical and Diagnostic Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
21 University of Calgary, 3280 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, T2N 2Z6 Canada.

22 ⁸Scandinavian Brown Bear Project, Noppikoski 156, SE-79498 Orsa, Sweden.
23 sven.brunberg@bearproject.info; peter@solbritt.se

24 ⁹Department of Ecology and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life
25 Sciences, Post Box 5003, NO-1432 Ås, Norway. jon.swenson@umb.no

26 ¹⁰Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, NO – 7485 Trondheim, Norway.

27

28 Corresponding author: Jon M. Arnemo, jon.arnemo@hihm.no

29

30 **ABSTRACT**

31 We compared anesthetic protocols with different doses of tiletamine-zolazepam (TZ)
32 combined with medetomidine (M) for 288 yearling brown bear (*Ursus arctos*)
33 immobilizations with the objective of finding a combination of doses that would provide fast
34 induction with a duration of anesthesia long enough to minimize the need for administering
35 additional drug. The duration of induction time and immobilization was dose-dependent.
36 Increasing the M dose resulted in significantly shorter induction times and a lower probability
37 of giving supplemental drugs. Increasing the TZ dose prolonged duration of anesthesia. For
38 yearling brown bears in Scandinavia, captured shortly after den emergence in April and May,
39 we recommend total dart doses of 1.0 to 1.66 mg M/dart, plus 62.5 to 125 mg TZ/dart,
40 depending on the individual requirements for the length and depth of anaesthesia.

41 **KEYWORDS:** brown bear, immobilization, induction time, medetomidine, tiletamine, *Ursus*
42 *arctos*, yearling, zolazepam

43

44 **INTRODUCTION**

45 Tiletamine - zolazepam (TZ) combined with medetomidine (M) is recommended for
46 immobilizations providing a dose dependent surgical anaesthetic stage (dose dependent), safe
47 human handling, controllable duration of the immobilization with the option to administer
48 supplemental drugs, an adequate reversal with atipamezole, a wide margin of safety, and low
49 drug volumes suitable for remote darting. Tiletamine-zolazepam (TZ) has been widely used
50 for immobilization of brown bears (*Ursus arctos*), either alone or in combination with
51 xylazine or medetomidine (M) (Cattet et al. 2003; Fahlman et al. 2011). Currently, M and TZ
52 are considered to be the drugs of choice for free-ranging brown bears (Arnemo et al. 2011;
53 Fahlman et al. 2011; Kreeger and Arnemo 2012). Physiologic effects of capture and
54 anesthesia with this combination have been reported in free-ranging brown bears (Fahlman et
55 al. 2011; Fahlman et al. 2010), but the effects of different doses and drug ratios on the
56 duration of induction and immobilization have not been evaluated.

57 Recommended doses of anesthetic agents for wild animals are usually empirically
58 determined or extrapolated from other species. There are a few reports on controlled clinical
59 trials in captive wildlife (Ryeng et al. 2002; Storms et al. 2006), but these cannot be carried
60 out in free-ranging wild animals, as conditions in the wild are not suitable for controlled
61 clinical studies.

62 Induction times should preferably be short to minimize stress, the risk of injury, the risk
63 that the bears enter unsuitable terrain, to avoid mother-off-spring separation, and to ensure
64 that the anesthetized individual is clinically monitored as soon as possible. Furthermore,
65 anesthesia duration should preferably be long enough to carry out all the necessary work
66 without having to administer supplemental drugs. Here we report the effects of different doses
67 of M and TZ on induction time and anesthesia duration of free-ranging yearling brown bears.

68 **MATERIALS**

69 **Study area**

70 We analyzed data collected in two study areas in Scandinavia from 1992 - 2009. The southern
71 study area, hereafter named the south, was in Dalarna and Gävleborg counties in south-central
72 Sweden (61° N, 15° E, ~13,000 km²). The northern study area, hereafter named the north, was
73 in Norrbotten County in northern Sweden (67° N, 18° E, ~8,000 km²). The rolling landscape
74 in the south is covered by an intensively managed coniferous forest and elevations range from
75 200 to 1000 m altitude. The northern area is characterised by deep valleys, glaciers and high
76 plateaus ranging up to 2000 m in altitude (Zedrosser et al. 2006). Brown bears were captured
77 shortly after den emergence (Arnemo et al. 2011), in mid-April in the south and at the
78 beginning of May in the north (Zedrosser et al. 2007). Mean yearling litter size is 2.4 and does
79 not differ between the study areas (Swenson et al. 2001; Zedrosser et al. 2009).

80 **Capture and handling**

81 All bears were captured as a part of a long-term project on brown bear ecology in Scandinavia
82 (Swenson et al. 2001; e.g., Swenson et al. 1995; Zedrosser et al. 2009). Yearlings
83 accompanying their radio-marked mothers were darted from a helicopter using a remote drug
84 delivery system (Dan-Inject®, DK-7080 Børkop, Denmark). The standard capture procedure
85 was to first immobilize the yearling offspring and then the mother (Fahlman et al. 2011). For
86 yearlings we used 1.5 ml dart syringes with 1.5 x 25 mm barbed needles with different doses
87 and ratios of medetomidine (M) (Domitor® 1 mg/ml or Zalopine 10 mg/ml, Orion Pharma
88 Animal Health, Turku, Finland) and tiletamine-zolazepam (TZ) (Zoletil® 500 mg dry
89 powder, Virbac, Carros, France) (Table 1). Tiletamine-zolazepam is commercially available
90 only as premixed drug combination in a ratio of 1:1. All following dose information will
91 therefore imply that both drugs are in an equal proportion. Dose is expressed as mg per animal
92 or mg per kg bodyweight. Induction time was defined as the time from dart injection until an
93 individual was immobilized without movement. If an individual showed no or only slight

94 signs of anaesthesia within 5-10 minutes after receiving the first dart, a second dart with the
95 same dose was administered (Fahlman et al. 2011). Handling time was the period between the
96 animal being immobilized without movement until administration of the antidote. This period
97 was influenced by amounts of samples taken, litter size, terrain conditions and helicopter
98 landing possibilities. Rectal temperature, pulse rate, and respiratory rate were measured
99 throughout the immobilization, and a pulse oximeter (Nellcor® NP-20, Nellcor Inc.,
100 Pleasanton, CA, USA) with the sensor (VetSat®) clipped to the tongue measured hemoglobin
101 oxygen saturation (Arnemo et al. 2011). Not all physiologic measurements were recorded
102 continuously nor were they recorded at specific time intervals for most captures before 2006.
103 We introduced intranasal oxygen therapy in 2006 to prevent or treat hypoxemia during
104 immobilization (Fahlman et al. 2010). We have implanted intraperitoneal radiotransmitters
105 (Telonics®, model IMP/400/L HC) in all female yearlings in the south since 1997 (Arnemo et
106 al. 2011). Supplemental drugs were defined as additional drugs administered to extend the
107 period of immobilization. We used atipamezole (Antisedan® 5 mg/ml, Orion Pharma Animal
108 Health) administered intramuscularly at 5 mg per mg of medetomidine for reversal (Arnemo
109 et al. 2011). All captures and handling conformed to the current laws regulating the treatment
110 of animals in Sweden and was approved by the Ethical Committee on Animal Experiments,
111 Uppsala, Sweden.

112 **Data analysis**

113 We limited statistical analysis to yearlings immobilized with the first dart; captures with
114 failed darts or multiple darts were not included. Sample sizes differed between analyses due to
115 missing data. To avoid co-linearity among variables and because a given ratio of M:TZ will
116 result in different amounts of drug injected dependent upon the body weight of a given bear,
117 we did not use the ratio of M:TZ but rather the interaction $M \text{ mg/kg} * TZ \text{ mg/kg}$ to evaluate
118 the combined effect of the two drugs. The variables mg/kg M and mg/kg TZ were normalized

119 with a mean of zero and a variance of one (Zuur et al. 2007). We used a two sample *t*-test to
120 compare the differences in body mass between the study areas and to evaluate if it was
121 necessary to control for the effects of study area in our analyses.

122 We carried out four analyses. We evaluated whether the individual handling times differed
123 among years with a general linear model, because sampling procedures changed between the
124 years over the course of our long-term study. In this analysis we controlled for the effect of
125 litter size on handling time.

126 We evaluated which factors affected the length of induction time (in minutes) with a
127 Poisson distributed generalized linear model. We tested the effect of the following variables
128 on induction time: dose of M in mg/kg, dose of TZ in mg/kg, the interaction between these
129 two variables, and capture order (as factor; whether an individual was captured as first,
130 second or third offspring in a litter).

131 We evaluated which factors affected the probability (0 = no, 1 = yes) of administering
132 additional drugs to a yearling with a binomial generalized linear model. We tested the effect
133 of the following variables: dose of M in mg/kg, dose of TZ in mg/kg, the interaction between
134 these two variables, capture order, litter size (as factor variable), handling time, and whether a
135 radio-transmitter was implanted or not (as binomial variable, no = 0, yes = 1).

136 We evaluated which factors affected the time (in minutes) after which additional drugs had
137 to be administered during captures with a general linear model. We tested the effect of the
138 following variables: dose of M in mg/kg, dose of TZ in mg/kg, the interaction between these
139 two variables, capture order, litter size, handling time, and whether a radio-transmitter was
140 implanted or not.

141 We carried out model selection in all analyses using the *drop1*-function (e.g., Zuur et al.
142 2009) in the statistical software R 2.12.0 (R-Development Core Team 2010). The level for
143 statistical significance was set to be $P \leq 0.05$, and *p*-values $P < 0.1$ were considered
144 statistically suggestive.

145 **RESULTS**

146 We captured 387 yearling brown bears during 1992-2009. Of these, 85% (328) were
147 captured after one dart injection, 13% (52) required two darts, 2% (6) required 3 darts, and
148 0.3% (1) required 4 darts. We observed an overall capture mortality rate of 0.005% ($n = 2$;
149 one yearling died due to dart trauma, the other due to shock/circulatory failure). Due to
150 missing data, 40 yearling captures with one dart injection had to be excluded from further
151 analyses. The litter size of the captured yearlings ranged from 1-3 cubs; 141 yearlings were
152 either singletons or captured as first sibling of the litter, 104 were captured as second sibling
153 of a litter, and 43 yearlings were captured as third sibling of a litter ($n = 288$).

154 Yearling body mass ranged from 8-45 kg and did not differ between the study areas (north:
155 22.2 ± 6.0 kg (mean \pm SD), south: 22.5 ± 6.1 kg, two-sample t -test, $t = -0.417$, $df = 286$, $P =$
156 0.677 , $n = 288$). Therefore, we pooled the data from both study areas for further analyses.

157 The handling time of individuals increased significantly with litter size (Table 2), however
158 it did not vary among years of the study period ($P = 0.612$). The mean overall handling time
159 of all yearlings in litters of size 1 was 93 ± 32 minutes, in litters of size 2, 105 ± 27 minutes,
160 and in litters of size 3, 112 ± 28 minutes ($n = 288$).

161 Induction time decreased significantly with an increasing dose of M mg/kg (i.e., faster
162 induction time with higher dose of M), and increased significantly with an increasing dose of
163 TZ mg/kg in relation to M mg/kg (i.e., the more M mg/kg in relation to TZ mg/kg, the longer
164 the induction time) (Table 3). Capture order had no significant effect on induction time ($P =$
165 0.751), and was removed to obtain the final model.

166 The probability that an additional dose had been administered increased significantly with
167 handling time, but decreased suggestively with an increasing dose of M mg/kg (Table 4).
168 None of the variables capture order ($P = 0.966$), whether or not a radio-transmitter was
169 implanted ($P = 0.939$), M mg/kg*TZ mg/kg ($P = 0.250$), litter size ($P = 0.222$), and TZ mg/kg

170 ($P = 0.209$) had a significant effect on the probability that an additional dose had been
171 administered, and were removed in that order to obtain the final model.

172 The time after which additional drugs had been administered increased significantly with
173 increasing TZ mg/kg, and increased significantly with a decreasing dose of M mg/kg in
174 relation to TZ mg/kg (i.e., the more TZ mg/kg in relation to M mg/kg, the later an additional
175 dose was needed) (Table 5). The variable M mg/kg was not significant by itself ($P = 0.905$),
176 but was retained in the final model because it was part of a significant interaction. None of the
177 variables capture order ($P = 0.253$), whether or not a radio-transmitter had been implanted (P
178 $= 0.841$), and litter size ($P = 0.160$) had a significant effect on the time after which additional
179 drugs had been administered, and were removed in that order to obtain the final model.

180 **DISCUSSION**

181 The duration of induction is important for safety reasons, but it is also important to
182 minimize the excitement stage of anesthesia, with all its side effects (Kreeger and Arnemo
183 2012). Inductions that are too short, due to over dose or poor body condition and health status,
184 may lead to cardiovascular or respiratory collapse (Frey and Löscher 2002). The yearlings
185 showing the shortest induction times were those with either higher doses of all three drugs,
186 MZT, or those with a lower ZT dose and a higher M dose (Table 1). This agrees with the
187 general knowledge about the reduction of each drug component using balanced anesthesia
188 (i.e. a combination of TZ with M reduces the effective dose of TZ by as much as 75%)
189 described by Cattet et al. (1997). A reduction of ZT is preferable, as T cannot be antagonized
190 and therefore causes prolonged recoveries. Higher doses of M may cause problems with
191 increased vascular resistance due to alpha 2 adrenergic receptor occupation (Caulkett et al.
192 1999)et al. 1999) and a ceiling effect might be reached at higher plasma concentrations, with
193 no further sedative effects (Kuusela et al. 2000). This has not been documented in bears,
194 however. We recorded an overall capture mortality rate of 0.005% for the yearling captures,

195 reflecting the wide safety margin of this drug combination and the ability of using a wide
196 range of doses without adverse effect. Wide safety margins are important in immobilizing
197 wildlife in general, as exact body mass cannot be determined from a distance.

198 The three dart doses that had the fastest induction times, had a range of M doses between
199 1.0 to 1.66 mg/dart (mean mg/kg body weight (BW) range = 0.04 - 0.10 mg/kg), and ZT
200 doses of 62.5 to 125 mg/dart (mean mg/kg BW = 3.15 – 5.61 mg/kg) for brown bear yearlings
201 immobilized shortly after den emergence in April and May (Table 1).

202 Many factors must be considered when deciding a dart dose, weight varies with season;
203 bears weigh more during autumn than after leaving the den in spring, therefore autumn doses
204 should be higher than spring-doses we report here. In a stressed animal with an activated fight
205 and flight response, higher doses of immobilizing drugs are required than in calm and naïve
206 animals. Animals undergoing surgery require analgesics (pain medication) and a deeper plane
207 of anesthesia compared to animals immobilized for non-invasive procedures, such as radio-
208 collaring or body measurements. When prolonged procedures are planned, one should
209 consider administering higher doses of TZ, to increase the duration of anesthesia. It is also
210 important to consider the physiological effects that the drug combination and doses used will
211 have on the animal, and monitor the animal's physiological condition during anesthesia as
212 standard procedure. Our data suggest that high doses of M in relation to ZT increased
213 induction times and the duration of immobilization. However, hypoxemia is a common side
214 effect in brown bears anesthetized with MZT at the doses we suggest, and the degree of
215 hypoxemia may be related to the dose of M (Fahlman et al. 2011). Intranasal oxygen therapy
216 should be provided when using this protocol to increase the safety for the anesthetized bears
217 (Fahlman et al. 2010).

218 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

219 We thank A. Söderberg and R. Franzén for help with the capture of bears. The Scandinavian
220 Brown Bear Research Project was funded by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency,
221 the Norwegian Directorate for Nature Management, the Swedish Association for Hunting and
222 Wildlife Management, WWF Sweden, and the Research Council of Norway. All capture and
223 handling was approved by the appropriate authority and ethical committee (Djuretiska
224 nämnden i Uppsala, Sweden). This is scientific paper no. 132 from the Scandinavian Brown
225 Bear Research Project.

226 REFERENCES

- 227 Arnemo, J.M., Evans, A., and Fahlman, Å. 2011. Biomedical protocols for free-ranging
228 brown bears, wolves, wolverines and lynx. Norwegian Directorate for Nature
229 Management.
- 230 Cattet, M.R., Caulkett, N.A., Polischuk, S.C., and Ramsay, M.A. 1997. Reversible
231 immobilization of free-ranging polar bears with medetomidine-zolazepam-tiletamine and
232 atipamezole. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **33**: 611-617.
- 233 Cattet, M.R.L., Caulkett, N.A., and Stenhouse, G.B. 2003. Anesthesia of grizzly bears using
234 xylazine-zolazepam-tiletamine or zolazepam-tiletamine. *Ursus* **14**: 88-93.
- 235 Caulkett, N.A., Cattet, M.R.L., Caulkett, J.M., and Polischuk, S.C. 1999. Comparative
236 physiologic effects of telazol, medetomidine-ketamine, and medetomidine-telazol in
237 captive polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*). *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* **30**: 504-509.
- 238 Fahlman, Å., Arnemo, J.M., Swenson, J.E., Pringle, J., Brunberg, S., and Nyman, G. 2011.
239 Physiologic evaluation of capture and anesthesia with medetomidine-zolazepam-tiletamine
240 in brown bears (*Ursus arctos*). *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* **42**: 1-11.
- 241 Fahlman, Å., Pringle, J., Arnemo, J.M., Swenson, J.E., Brunberg, S., and Nyman, G. 2010.
242 Treatment of hypoxemia during anesthesia of brown bears (*Ursus arctos*). *Journal of Zoo*
243 *and Wildlife Medicine* **41**(1): 161-164.

244 Frey, H.-H., and Löscher, W. 2002. Lehrbuch der Pharmakologie und toxikologie für die
245 Veterinärmedizin. Enke Verlag, Stuttgart, Germany.

246 Kreeger, T.J., and Arnemo, J.M. 2012. Handbook of Wildlife Chemical Immobilization.
247 Fourth ed. Wheatland, Wyoming, USA.

248 Kuusela, E., Raekallio, M., Anttila, M., Falck, I., Molsa, S., and Vainio, O. 2000. Clinical
249 effects and pharmacokinetics of medetomidine and its enantiomers in dogs. Journal of
250 Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics **23**(1): 15-20.

251 Ryeng, K.A., Larsen, S., and Arnemo, J.M. 2002. Medetomidine-ketamine in reindeer
252 (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*): Effective immobilization by hand- and dart-administered
253 injection. Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine **33**(4): 397-400.

254 Storms, T.N., Schumacher, J., Osborn, D.A., Miller, K.V., and Ramsay, E.C. 2006. Effects of
255 ketamine on carfentanil and xylazine immobilization of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus*
256 *virginianus*). Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine **37**(3): 347-353.

257 Swenson, J.E., Sandegren, F., Brunberg, S., and Segerstrom, P. 2001. Factors associated with
258 loss of brown bear cubs in Sweden. *Ursus* **12**: 69-80.

259 Swenson, J.E., Wabakken, P., Sandegren, F., Bjärvall, A., Franzèn, R., and Söderberg, A.
260 1995. The near extinction and recovery of brown bears in Scandinavia in relation to the
261 bear management policies of Norway and Sweden. *Wildlife Biology* **1**(1): 11-25.

262 Zedrosser, A., Dahle, B., Stoen, O.G., and Swenson, J.E. 2009. The effects of primiparity on
263 reproductive performance in the brown bear. *Oecologia* **160**(4): 847-854.

264 Zedrosser, A., Dahle, B., and Swenson, J.E. 2006. Population density and food conditions
265 determine adult female body size in brown bears. *Journal of Mammalogy* **87**: 510-518.

266 Zedrosser, A., Støen, O.G., Sæbø, S., and Swenson, J.E. 2007. Should I stay or should I go?
267 Natal dispersal in the brown bear. *Animal Behaviour* **74**(3): 369-376.

268 Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., and Smith, G.M. 2007. *Analysing Ecological Data*. Springer, New
269 York, U.S.A.

270 Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N.J., Saveliev, A.A., and Smith, G.M. 2009. Mixed effects
271 models and extensions in Ecology with R. Springer, New York.
272
273
274

Table 1: Doses and ratios of medetomidine (M), tiletamine-zolazepam (TZ), body mass, induction time, and time after which additional drugs had been administered to free-ranging yearling brown bear immobilized in Sweden during 1992-2009. Induction time is defined as the time from darting to until an individual was immobilized without movement. Induction time and time until additional drugs were administered are presented as mean time (standard deviation, SD) and median time (range) in minutes, *n* is the number of individuals per group.

Total dose	Ratio	Dose M	Dose TZ	Body mass	Mean time	Median time	<i>n</i>	Mean time	Median time	<i>n</i>
M+ZT (mg)	M:ZT	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(kg)	(SD)	(range)		(SD)	(range)	
1.25+62.5	1:50	0.04-0.16	1.8-7.8	8-35	3.2 (1.9)	3 (1-9)	125	71.9 (30.2)	77.0 (5-116)	19
1.66+83.3	1:50	0.06-0.17	3.0-8.8	9.5-28	3.3 (1.9)	3 (1-9)	26	57.4 (31.8)	48.5 (28-116)	8
1.00+100	1:100	0.02-0.10	2.3-10.0	10-44	4.5 (1.6)	5 (1-7)	11	81.7 (40.4)	63.0 (54-128)	3
1.00+125	1:125	0.02-0.06	2.9-7.8	16-43	3.1 (1.8)	3 (1-9)	71	86.2 (32.0)	96.0 (9-130)	11
0.75+125	1:167	0.02-0.05	3.8-8.3	15-33	4.4 (1.7)	4 (2-8)	16	111.0 (15.5)	111.0 (92-130)	4
0.50+125	1:250	0.01-0.05	3.0-12.5	10-42	3.6 (2.7)	3 (1-11)	39	69.8 (28.9)	65.5 (34-115)	12

Table 2: Significant results of a generalized linear model testing whether individual handling times (i.e. how long an individual was handled after immobilization without movement until the administration of a reversal drug) of 288 yearling brown bears differed among years in Sweden during 1992-2009. The effect of the following variables was tested: year (as factor), and litter size (as factor, with the effect of litter size 1 set to 0), i.e. if an individual had been captured as part of a litter consisting of either one, two, or three yearlings. β = logistic regression coefficient, SE = standard error, z = z -value, P = significance level.

Variables	β	SE	z	P
Litter size 1	0	0		
Litter size 2	11.622	6.845	1.698	0.091
Litter size 3	18.947	6.932	2.733	0.007

Table 3: Significant results of a generalized linear model testing which factors affected the length of induction time (in minutes) for captures of 288 yearling brown bears in Sweden during 1992-2009. Induction time is defined as the time from darting until the animals was immobilized without movements. The effect of the following variables was tested: dose of medetomidine (M) in mg/kg, dose of tiletamine-zolazepane (TZ) in mg/kg, the interaction between M mg/kg * TZ mg/kg, and capture order (whether an individual was captured as first, second or third offspring in a litter). β = logistic regression coefficient, SE = standard error, z = z -value, P = significance level.

Variables	β	SE	z	P
M mg/kg	-0.112	0.040	-2.850	0.004
TZ mg/kg	-0.026	0.033	-0.788	0.431
M mg/kg * TZ mg/kg	0.070	0.031	2.277	0.023

Table 4: Significant results of a generalized linear model testing which factors affect the probability (binomial, with 0 = no, 1 = yes) of whether additional drugs had been administered during captures of 240 yearling brown bears in Sweden during 1992-2009. The effect of the following variables was tested: dose of medetomidine (M) in mg/kg, the overall time (in minutes) an individual was handled, capture order (whether an individual was captured as first, second, or third offspring in a litter), litter size, and whether or not a radio-transmitter had been implanted. β = logistic regression coefficient, SE = standard error, z = z -value, P = significance level.

Variables	β	SE	z	P
Handling time	0.028	0.006	-5.889	<0.001
M mg/kg	-0.302	0.166	-1.826	0.068

Table 5: Significant results of a generalized linear model testing which factors affect the time after which additional drugs had been administered during captures of 52 yearling brown bears in Sweden during 1992-2009. The effect of the following variables was tested: dose of medetomidine (M) in mg/kg, dose of tiletamine-zolazepam (TZ) in mg/kg, the interaction M mg/kg * TZ mg/kg, the overall time (in minutes) an individual was handled, capture order (whether an individual was captured as first, second or third offspring in a litter), litter size, and whether or not a radio-transmitter had been implanted. β = logistic regression coefficient, SE = standard error, t = t -value, P = significance level.

Variables	β	SE	t	P
M mg/kg	-0.480	4.022	-0.119	0.906
TZ mg/kg	11.672	4.931	2.367	0.021
M mg/kg * TZ mg/kg	-7.627	2.776	-2.748	0.008