

This file has been downloaded from Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences' Open Research Archive, <http://brage.bibsys.no/inn/>

The article has been peer-reviewed, but does not include the publisher's layout, page numbers and proof-corrections

Citation for the published paper:

Vink, J., Edvardsson, B., Wetter-Edman, K., & Tronvoll, B. (2019). Reshaping mental models – enabling innovation through service design. *Journal of Service Management*, 30(1), 75–104.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-08-2017-0186>



Reshaping Mental Models – Enabling Innovation through Service Design

Journal:	<i>Journal of Service Management</i>
Manuscript ID	JOSM-08-2017-0186.R3
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Innovation, Mental models, Service design, Service ecosystems, Institutional work, Institutional arrangements

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Reshaping mental models – Enabling innovation through service design

Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to analyze how service design practices reshape mental models to enable innovation. Mental models are actors' assumptions and beliefs that guide their behavior and interpretation of their environment.

Approach: This paper offers a conceptual framework for innovation in service ecosystems through service design that connects the macro view of innovation as changing institutional arrangements with the micro view of innovation as reshaping actors' mental models. Furthermore, through an 18-month ethnographic study of service design practices in the context of healthcare, how service design practices reshape mental models to enable innovation is investigated.

Findings: This research highlights that service design reshapes mental models through the practices of sensing surprise, perceiving multiples, and embodying alternatives. This paper delineates the enabling conditions for these practices to occur, such as coaching, diverse participation, and supportive physical materials.

Research Implications: This study brings forward the underappreciated role of actors' mental models in innovation. It highlights that innovation in service ecosystems is not simply about actors making changes to their external context but also actors shifting their own assumptions and beliefs.

Practical Implications: This paper offers insights for service managers and service designers interested in supporting innovation on how to catalyze shifts in actors' mental models by creating the conditions for specific service design practices.

Originality/Value: This article is the first to shed light on the central role of actors' mental models in innovation and identify the service design practices that reshape mental models.

Keywords: innovation, mental models, service design, service ecosystems, institutional work, institutional arrangements

1
2
3 **Article type:** research paper
4

5 **Introduction**
6

7
8 “If a factory is torn down but the rationality which produced it is left standing, then that rationality
9 will simply produce another factory. If a revolution destroys a systematic government, but the
10 systematic patterns of thought that produced that government are left intact, then those patterns will
11 repeat themselves in the succeeding government. There’s so much talk about the system. And so little
12 understanding.” Robert M. Pirsig, *Zen and the Art of Motorcycle Maintenance*, 1974
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 Innovation has been conceptualized through a service ecosystem perspective as a process of changing
20 the institutional arrangements that govern the way that value is cocreated among actors (Vargo et al.,
21 2015). While early research is often interpreted as describing institutional arrangements as external,
22 macro-level social structures (Meyer and Rowan, 1977), recent literature has emphasized that
23 institutional arrangements are not something ‘out there’, but rather constructed by actors’ cognitive
24 beliefs (Scott, 1995). As such, there is growing recognition that in order to understand how actors shift
25 existing institutional arrangements, there is a need to focus on the cognition of actors (Suddaby et al.,
26 2016). More specifically, it has been recognized that “institutions clearly are a reflection of evolving
27 mental models” (Denzau and North, 1994, p. 22). In order to shift institutional arrangements, actors
28 must change their mental models - the assumptions and beliefs that guide their behavior and
29 interpretation of their environment (Dequech, 2013). For example, a doctor might have a mental
30 model of the doctor-patient relationship that reinforces that ‘the doctor knows best’ leading them to
31 interact with their patients in a directive way. While mental models are central to innovation from a
32 service ecosystem perspective, they have been largely ignored within service research in recent years
33 (Strandvik et al., 2014).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 The service ecosystem perspective enables researchers to have oscillating foci where the phenomenon
51 of interest can be examined at different levels of aggregation by zooming in and out (Chandler and
52 Vargo, 2011). However, research to date on innovation in service ecosystems has mainly focused on a
53 macro-level of aggregation (Vargo and Lusch, 2016; Wilden et al., 2017). Such a focus limits the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 direct applicability of this conceptualization of innovation for practitioners. A focus on mental models
4
5 can support the much-needed translation of the service ecosystem perspective on innovation as
6
7 changing institutional arrangements toward the micro-level of individual actors and groups. An
8
9 understanding of how to change mental models is critical for service managers interested in driving
10
11 innovation, especially in contexts where related actors seem to be stuck in the status quo. Without
12
13 addressing the persistent mental models of actors, service managers may continue to face resistance
14
15 that significantly impedes innovation within their service ecosystem. In order to enable actors to
16
17 overcome the status quo, service design has been identified as one promising approach to reshaping
18
19 mental models (Vink et al., 2017), engaging actors in institutional work (Kurtmollaiev et al., 2017),
20
21 and catalyzing innovation (Andreassen et al., 2016; Patrício et al., 2011). Service design is recognized
22
23 as a humanizing, creative and iterative approach to realizing preferred futures (Blomkvist et al., 2010).
24
25 Foundational to this approach is a set of practices (Karpen et al., 2017) that can aid actors in
26
27 reframing how they interpret situations (Dorst, 2011) and trigger changes in actors' assumptions
28
29 (Wetter-Edman et al., 2018), which are a key component of mental models (Johnson-Laird, 2013).
30
31 However, to date, there has not been a systematic empirical analysis of how service design practices
32
33 reshape actors' mental models to enable innovation.

34
35 As such, this paper explores the research question: how do service design practices reshape mental
36
37 models to enable innovation in service ecosystems? To investigate this, the authors draw on an 18-
38
39 month ethnographic study of efforts to innovate within the Swedish healthcare system through service
40
41 design. Informed by observations, informal conversations, interviews and a review of archival data,
42
43 this approach examines 'cognition in the wild', recognizing that cognition is entangled in actors'
44
45 everyday cultural practices and spans across the inside-outside boundaries of skin and skull
46
47 (Hutchins, 1995; Hutchins, 2014). Through this rich ethnography, three types of service design
48
49 practices that contribute to shaping actors' mental models are identified: sensing surprise, perceiving
50
51 multiples, and embodying alternatives.

52
53
54 By focusing on how actors' can reshape mental models, this study has important implications for the
55
56 literature on innovation in service ecosystems and service design. Firstly, this research contributes to
57
58

1
2
3 the service ecosystem perspective of innovation by offering an in situ understanding of the micro-level
4 practices that shape mental models and enable actors to alter institutional arrangements. This research
5 explains how innovation emerges and how actors can change their social context by shifting their own
6 mental models. Such a contribution is important because it develops the service ecosystems
7 perspective on innovation to become more actionable. Secondly, this research advances the literature
8 on service design by delineating how service design practices contribute to reshaping mental models
9 and changing institutional arrangements. This understanding is critical for building the theoretical
10 connection between service design and innovation that has been in need of further development
11 (Patrício et al., 2017). Furthermore, this research offers important insights for service managers and
12 service designers on specific enabling conditions for the service design practices that support the
13 changes in mental models necessary for innovation.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 This paper begins by reviewing related theory on innovation in service ecosystems, mental models,
26 and service design practices. These threads are then woven together into an integrative conceptual
27 framework that provides the basis for the empirical study. After detailing the ethnographic approach,
28 findings from the fieldwork conducted at Experio Lab, a national center employing service design to
29 support innovation in the context of the Swedish healthcare system, are presented. Through this study,
30 the practices of reshaping mental models through service design and their enabling conditions are
31 identified. This paper concludes by drawing out the implications of these contributions for theory and
32 practice and outlining opportunities for future research in this area.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **A Macro-Level Perspective on Innovation in Service Ecosystems**

43 *Institutional Arrangements*

44
45
46
47
48 Discourse on service innovation has evolved significantly in the last twenty years with many divergent
49 perspectives on what this concept entails (Witell et al., 2016). One perspective on innovation that is
50 gaining ground in service research is the service ecosystem perspective of innovation, based on
51 service-dominant logic. A service ecosystem is a “relatively self-contained, self-adjusting system of
52 resource-integrating actors connected by shared institutional arrangements and mutual value creation
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 through service exchange” (Lusch and Vargo, 2014, p. 161). The service ecosystem perspective on
3 innovation offers a unified, holistic lens for understanding novel value cocreation (Vargo et al., 2015).
4 It takes a synthesis view of innovation, which encompasses both technical and non-technical activities
5 (Akaka et al., 2017). This perspective is aligned most closely with the systems archetype of
6 innovation, that sees innovation as “a reconfiguration of resources, actors, and institutional
7 arrangements” (Helkkula et al., 2017, p.7). Institutional arrangements are shared, taken-for-granted
8 knowledge structures or meanings with normative and cognitive underpinnings that support self-
9 reproducing social order (Greenwood et al., 2008). While institutional arrangements are often seen as
10 objective social facts, they are subjectively formed by actors as they construct reality through ongoing
11 social interactions (Scott, 1995). Institutional arrangements enable actors to categorize events, assess
12 their consequences and consider appropriate actions efficiently (Friedland and Alford, 1991). Often
13 referred to as “the rules of the game” (North, 1990) in service ecosystems, institutional arrangements
14 are the glue that holds the current processes of value cocreation in place and have a central role in
15 innovation.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 *Institutional Work*

32
33 A service ecosystem perspective suggests that innovation happens by actors doing institutional work –
34 intentionally disrupting, creating and maintaining institutional arrangements (Lawrence and Suddaby,
35 2006). Innovation then becomes an ongoing process of reshaping the institutional arrangements that
36 guide resource integration practices to enable actors to cocreate value in novel ways (Koskela-Huotari
37 et al., 2016). This perspective emphasizes that innovation is not just an outcome, but a collaborative
38 process of resource integration where actors collectively re-create service ecosystems (Lusch and
39 Nambisan, 2015). Understanding innovation as a change in the structure of service ecosystems
40 through new sets of norms and rules advances a contextual view of innovation that has been missing in
41 previous research (Edvardsson and Tronvoll, 2013). While the perspective of service ecosystems
42 enriches the understanding of actors and innovation in context (Akaka et al., 2017), research on
43 innovation from this perspective leaves questions about the micro-level activities that enable changes
44 in institutional arrangements.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Within the service ecosystem perspective on innovation, the question remains: how are actors able to
4 engage in divergent change while experiencing pressure from existing institutional arrangements
5
6 (Battilana and D'Aunno, 2009)? Some research suggests the importance of institutional complexity as
7
8 a driver of innovation in service ecosystems (Siltaloppi et al, 2016). However, it is increasingly
9
10 recognized that purely structural or macro-level explanations of how actors contribute to institutional
11
12 change are insufficient because actors' apprehension of institutional complexity is not inevitable
13
14 (Voronov & Yorks, 2015). To satisfy the phenomenological nature of institutional arrangements, a
15
16 cognitive explanation is needed (Suddaby et al., 2016). While there has been a lack of research at the
17
18 level of individual actors (Battilana and D'Aunno, 2009), such research is critical for fully
19
20 understanding actors' ability to disrupt the status quo within institutional arrangements (Suddaby et
21
22 al., 2016; Voronov and Yorks, 2015). Despite some promising studies of cognitive aspects of
23
24 institutional work in service ecosystems (Siltaloppi, 2015), further research is needed to understand
25
26 how actors can make the cognitive shifts necessary for realizing innovation. As mental models are a
27
28 cognitive underpinning of institutional arrangements (Denzau and North, 1994), a greater
29
30 understanding of mental models can help to advance our knowledge regarding the micro-level
31
32 cognitive changes of actors that enable innovation in service ecosystems.
33
34

35 **A Micro-Level Perspective on Innovation in Service Ecosystems**

36 *Mental Models*

37
38
39
40
41 Mental models specifically involve actors' assumptions and beliefs about how something works and
42
43 how to act based on that understanding. A mental model can be as simple as a metaphor that captures
44
45 the relationship between components of a system, such as thinking about the structure of a family as a
46
47 tree (Collins and Gentner, 1987). Mental models support actors to reduce uncertainty by acting as
48
49 heuristics for value cocreation based on past experiences (Prahalad and Bettis, 1986). The shared
50
51 mental models of actors constitute institutional arrangements (Denzau and North, 1994) and enable
52
53 these institutional arrangements to become generally taken-for-granted and uncontested (Scott, 1995).
54
55 Shared mental models allow actors to act in concert and interact effectively (Berggren, 2016). While
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 they are valuable for helping actors deal with ambiguity, mental models can also perpetuate historical
4 institutional arrangements that are no longer helpful (Prahalad and Bettis, 1986). As such, mental
5 models have been identified as a key source of inertia (Guiette and Vandembemt, 2013), which
6 involves the reduced willingness of actors to cannibalize current ways of operating (Chandy and
7 Tellis, 1998). The long history of research done on mental models in other fields can aid in deepening
8 our understanding of mental models and how they can be changed to enable innovation in service
9 ecosystems.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 The construct of mental models had its origins in the logic of Peirce, who talked about reasoning that
18 “put before us moving pictures of thought” (Peirce, cited in Johnson-Laird, 2013, p. 132). It was then
19 later developed through the psychological research of Craik (1943), who suggested that humans carry
20 a small-scale model of reality and possible actions within their heads. More recently, it has also been
21 recognized that aspects of mental models are distributed within an actor’s environment so that an actor
22 does not need to hold everything in their mind (Artman, 1999). In the field of cognitive science, the
23 theory of mental models helps to explain the processes that underly inference, including the rationale
24 for systematic errors (Johnson-Laird, 1980). This theory posits that actors do not comprehend the
25 world directly, rather they employ representations of it, called mental models. The structure of actors’
26 mental models corresponds to the structure of the system being represented (Johnson-Laird, 2013).
27 When individuals reason they draw conclusions that are probable, based on their corresponding
28 models (ibid). These models help to reduce the cognitive load on actors’ working memory, enabling
29 inference without the related models necessarily emerging into consciousness (Johnson-Laird, 1980;
30 2010).

31
32
33
34
35
36
37 However, mental models are often incomplete and fragmented leading to inappropriate actions.
38 Contributing to errors is the fact that mental models represent what is true at the expense of what is
39 false (Johnson-Laird and Savary, 1999) and that they can be constructed based on descriptions of the
40 world with arbitrary assumptions, rather than direct experiences (Johnson-Laird, 1980). As such, the
41 capacity of actors to draw appropriate inferences relies on their ability to construct, manipulate and
42 refute their existing mental models (Johnson-Laird, 1980; 2010). Research in cognitive science has
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 helped to shed light on some of the intrinsic and extrinsic factors influencing changes in actors' mental
4
5 models. One significant cause of change in mental models is an actor's detection of an inconsistency
6
7 (Khemlani and Johnson-Laird, 2013). When an actor reaches an impasse with their existing pattern of
8
9 inference, they revise their related mental models minimally or significantly, depending on their
10
11 explanation (Wason, 1964). Other influences on changes in mental models include: emotions, which
12
13 play a role in determining if conclusions are valid or invalid (Gangemi et al., 2013); framing, as re-
14
15 descriptions can block unhelpful assumptions and enable alternatives (Murray and Byrne, 2013);
16
17 diagrams, which can help actors envision other possibilities (Hegarty et al., 2013); and gestures, which
18
19 reflect mental models and can aid actors' in making corrections (Núñez, 2006). Interestingly, these
20
21 identified influences on mental models align with some key aspects of service design practices.
22

23 *Service Design Practices*

24
25
26 Service design is defined as an explorative approach to creating novel forms of value cocreation
27
28 (Kimbell, 2011). Within the literature, service design is regularly connected with innovation
29
30 (Andreassen et al., 2016; Sangiorgi and Prendiville, 2015) and increasingly being viewed through a
31
32 service ecosystem perspective (Wetter-Edman et al., 2018). In this view, service design is positioned
33
34 as a set of creative practices, supported by methods and tools, that can help to alter service ecosystems
35
36 toward preferred futures (Wetter-Edman et al., 2014). Recently, service design practices have also
37
38 been identified as a transformative force for changing institutional arrangements in service ecosystems
39
40 (Kurtmollaiev et al., 2017). These practices—learned actions and interactions with specific affect
41
42 patterns manifested in context—are identified as a core foundation of the macro-level changes enabled
43
44 by the service design approach (Karpen et al., 2017) and have been associated with the process of
45
46 institutional work (Wetter-Edman et al., 2018). The experiential, reflective, and participatory nature of
47
48 service design practices aid actors in creating the conditions for changes in institutional arrangements
49
50 (Vink et al., in print).
51

52
53 Previous research highlights the importance of disruptive aesthetic experiences in sparking the
54
55 possibility of institutional change through service design practices (Wetter-Edman et al., 2018).
56
57

1
2
3 Aesthetic experiences involve actors in gaining information about a situation through their sense of
4 sight, sound, taste, touch, and smell (Stephens and Boland, 2015). When these bodily experiences,
5
6 staged through service design practices, challenge actors' existing assumptions, they have the potential
7
8 to change actors' mental models (Vink et al., 2017) and enable institutional work (Wetter-Edman et
9
10 al., 2018). Furthermore, because service design practices bring diverse actors together through co-
11
12 design, many actors can be exposed to these experiences (Trischler et al., 2018). While service design
13
14 practices are regularly led by service designers, it is through the often neglected aspect of diverse
15
16 participation that the process of change in service ecosystems is catalyzed (Holmlid et al., 2017). The
17
18 aesthetic, reflexive experiences of actors in the co-design process are at the core of how actors work to
19
20 create the conditions for novel value cocreation through service design (Akama and Prendiville, 2015).
21
22

23
24 Connecting back to the discussion of mental models, research suggests that service design practices
25
26 enable cognitive change (Karpen et al., 2017). This process of cognitive change has been linked to
27
28 framing - the creation of a standpoint from which a situation can be perceived (Dorst, 2011). Framing
29
30 has also been connected with both actors' ability to alter the institutional arrangements in service
31
32 ecosystems (Siltaloppi, 2015) and changes in mental models (Murray and Byrne, 2013). Research
33
34 argues that the cognitive processes of design are not separate from, but intertwined with, its embodied
35
36 practices (Rylander, 2009). It is by balancing the cognitive, bodily and emotional connections, that
37
38 service design practices engage actors in a process of change (Karpen et al., 2017). While existing
39
40 research highlights the possibility of service design to drive cognitive change, empirical research is
41
42 needed to understand the relationship between the embodied practices of service design and changes in
43
44 mental models. Below, this existing literature is drawn together into a cohesive framework to provide
45
46 a foundation for the empirical study.
47

48 **Conceptualizing the Micro-Macro Relations of Innovation in Service Ecosystems through** 49 50 **Service Design**

51
52
53 This article takes an integrative approach to conceptualization that brings dispersed existent
54
55 knowledge together into a unified framework (MacInnis, 2011). The conceptual framework leverages
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the oscillating foci of the service ecosystem perspective (Chandler and Vargo, 2011) to bring together
4
5 connected concepts. Based on the review of related theory, it is recognized that institutional
6
7 arrangements, normally examined at a macro-level, are inextricably linked to actors' mental models at
8
9 the micro-level. Actors' mental models uphold institutional arrangements and institutional
10
11 arrangements reinforce actors' mental models. As such, there is ongoing interplay between these two
12
13 concepts as they co-construct and mutually constitute each other. Thus, to realize innovation in service
14
15 ecosystems through institutional work, actors' must reshape their mental models. Service design
16
17 practices have been recognized as a means of engaging actors in institutional work and have been
18
19 linked with changes in actors' mental models. Figure 1 zooms in and out of the innovation process in
20
21 service ecosystems, showing how reshaping mental models through service design practices at a
22
23 micro-level can enable changes in institutional arrangements at a macro-level.

24
25 For example, based on institutionalized aspects of medical education, regulation and societal norms, a
26
27 doctor with a mental model that 'the doctor knows best' may enact this mental model through
28
29 directive interactions with patients, further reinforcing the existing institutional arrangements.
30
31 However, if this doctor engages in service design practices, they may shift their mental model to
32
33 recognize that 'the patient is an expert of their own experience'. By changing their mental model, the
34
35 doctor is then able to see the opportunities and constraints of existing institutional arrangements and
36
37 may start to intentionally disrupt the traditional professional role of doctors through their interactions
38
39 with patients and other care team members, as well as advocacy work within their association of
40
41 physicians. However, understanding the specific service design practices that contribute to reshaping
42
43 mental models and how they support the innovation process is an unresolved issue. In order to
44
45 contextualize this framework and illuminate related practices, the ethnographic study is described in
46
47 the following sections.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. The micro-macro relations of innovation in service ecosystems through service design

Methods

Research Design

To understand how service design practices reshape mental models to enable innovation in service ecosystems, an in-depth 18-month ethnographic study of service design practices in the context of the Swedish healthcare system was conducted. Ethnography has a long history of interpreting actors' beliefs in everyday contexts (Frake, 1962) and offers a relevant approach for studying cognition as cognition is itself a cultural process (Hutchins, 1995). Research in cognitive science is increasingly leveraging ethnographic methods to study cognition in everyday life (e.g. Dahlbäck et al., 2013). It is recognized that cognition is not just something that happens inside actors' heads and therefore the unit of analysis must be expanded to account for the fact that cognition is embodied and distributed, making it inseparable from actors' perceptual and motor processes, as well as their physical and social environment (Rogers and Ellis, 1994). As such, the unit of analysis in this study is service design practices, with strong attention to social interaction and contextual factors. This unit of analysis emerged through the 'funnel' approach that is characteristic of inductive analysis in ethnographic research (Hammersley and Atkinson, 1995). In this study, data collection began by exploring service

1
2
3 design projects within the healthcare system and then slowly narrowed toward service design practices
4 that reshape mental models through a dialectical interaction between data collection and analysis.

5
6 While the primary focus of analysis was on service design practices, the researchers maintained an
7
8 oscillating foci to capture related macro-level processes.
9

10
11 As is traditional in ethnographic research, this study combines the methods of participant observation,
12
13 informal conversations, interviews, and archival analysis (Fetterman, 1998). By blending these
14
15 methods, it is possible to get information about processes that cannot be directly observed
16
17 (Hammersley and Atkinson, 1995). There is recognition that by examining the interplay between the
18
19 interpretations of participating actors' and those of the ethnographer, appropriate inferences can be
20
21 made about processes that are not fully observable. This study employs a para-ethnographic approach
22
23 in which organizational actors become collaborators in the study - sharing insights, shaping theoretical
24
25 agendas and engaging in a common analytical exchange (Holmes and Marcus, 2008). Para-
26
27 ethnography recognizes that some organizational actors are capable of taking a critical view of the
28
29 cultures and processes in which they participate, especially those actors with training and knowledge
30
31 related to ethnographic methods (Islam, 2015). This approach is particularly relevant in the context of
32
33 this study as many actors were educated as designers with training in ethnographic research as part of
34
35 their formal education.
36

37 38 *Context*

39
40 This ethnographic study investigates the service design practices of Experio Lab in the Swedish
41
42 healthcare system. Experio Lab is an initiative that was started by the County Council of Värmland in
43
44 2013. Using a multi-disciplinary, participatory service design approach, this initiative brings together
45
46 staff, patients and their families to re-design healthcare services to improve the patient experience.
47
48 Similar initiatives that leverage service design in healthcare have been established around the globe
49
50 (Mager, 2017). Since the time of its initiation, Experio Lab has expanded to six other counties and
51
52 regions in Sweden. The authors studied the practices of diverse actors connected with Experio Lab
53
54 projects that ranged from creating a new digital mental health service for youth to re-designing the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 process of blood and tissue sampling. Because healthcare service ecosystems are highly complex and
4 guided by entrenched, formalized institutional arrangements, healthcare represents an extreme setting
5 for reshaping mental models and enabling innovation (Wang et al., 2015). Empirical research in such
6 an extreme context can help to reveal richer, more generalizable insights that may not have been
7 otherwise visible (Flyvbjerg, 2006). Furthermore, there is also recognition that healthcare is a fertile
8 context for service design (Anderson et al., 2018) and service research more broadly (Berry and
9 Bendapudi, 2007).

16 17 *Data Collection*

18
19
20 The primary strategy for data collection was to engage in participant observation, which involves a
21 “process of learning through exposure to or involvement in the day-to-day or routine activities of
22 participants in the research setting” (Schensul et al., 1999, p. 91). The first author of this study was
23 embedded within Experio Lab in the healthcare system for a period of 18 months, which included
24 completing over 400 hours of observations. During that time, the first author took field notes that
25 captured concrete descriptions of actors’ processes and their context, first with a wide view and then
26 with an increasing focus on service design practices that reshape mental models. The observation
27 framework can be found in Appendix 1. A second researcher was also involved in observations in the
28 field to a more limited extent, which helped to deepen the understanding of the events that took place
29 by combining interpretations from different perspectives (Erickson and Stull, 1998). Building on the
30 insights from observations, other methods including informal conversations, interviews and a review
31 of archival data were employed to triangulate the evidence gathered (Eisenhardt, 1989). The data from
32 each method helped to illuminate and explain data from the other methods (Hammersley and
33 Atkinson, 1995), as, for example, informal conversations were used to solicit information about
34 actors’ thought processes during the service design practices that were observed.

35
36
37 To support this process, 14 semi-structured interviews with Experio Lab team members and healthcare
38 staff were conducted (for the full list of interviews see Appendix 2). These interviews aided in
39 progressively clarifying the focus of the study and developing preliminary inferences about how
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 service design practices reshape mental models. The interview guide can be found in Appendix 3.
4
5 Interviews lasted between 50 minutes and 90 minutes. In some cases, follow-up interviews of 20 to 30
6
7 minutes were also conducted with the same interviewee at a later date to clarify meaning or get an
8
9 update on a project. It is well recognized in ethnographic research that semi-structured interviews can
10
11 help to clarify the domain of study and illuminate related concepts (Schensul et al., 1999). The
12
13 interviewees were strategically selected based on well-established criteria for fruitful informant
14
15 selection (Dean et al., 1967), which includes a focus on those actors that might be more reflective on
16
17 the practices they engaged in and willing to share their reflections. Furthermore, aligned with the para-
18
19 ethnographic approach, key organizational actors discussed their thoughts on service design practices
20
21 that they participated in and changes in mental models that they experienced or interpreted. The
22
23 combination of the data gathered by the first author and ongoing conversations with key organizational
24
25 actors supported a fluid and iterative process of moving between data collection and analysis.

26 27 *Data Analysis*

28
29
30 In ethnography, data analysis is not a distinct stage of the research but rather ongoing throughout the
31
32 fieldwork, taking shape in field notes and embedded within the ethnographer's hunches (Hammersley
33
34 and Atkinson, 1995). Through a recognition of preliminary patterns in the initial data collected from
35
36 the field and by using existing literature as a resource, the core concepts of innovation (viewed
37
38 initially as a process of institutional work) and service design practices were identified. Then, during
39
40 analysis of the service design practices, the importance of actors' mental models emerged and mental
41
42 models became an additional core concept in the study. Conversations with organizational actors
43
44 related to the emerging patterns played a strong role in influencing the identification of the core
45
46 concepts. For example, in one conversation about the changes that were being catalyzed by service
47
48 design practices a project manager brought forward the idea that 'design changes your mind', which
49
50 aligned with some of the preliminary data collected about changes in the mindsets of actors. Then
51
52 through an exploration of the literature, the concept of mental models was chosen as it resonated with
53
54 the focus of interest for both the researchers and organizational actors. These core concepts provided
55
56 grounding for the study and helped focus attention during further ethnographic research.

1
2
3 Through continued fieldwork, memo writing and an ongoing review of related literature, a preliminary
4 conceptual framework was developed. This helped to make sense of what was happening in the data
5 by determining relationships between the core concepts identified, including the connection between
6 reshaping mental models on a micro-level and changing institutional arrangements on a macro-level.
7
8 Soon it became clear that a greater understanding of how service design practices reshape mental
9 models was needed. Here the process of analytical induction was used by describing patterns in the
10 data and searching for negative examples (Hammersley, 1989). In this process of induction, practices
11 from different service design projects were compared (see Appendix 4 for a list of the main projects
12 studied). First, patterns among the practices that seemed to influence mental models were identified
13 through inductive coding of field notes and interview transcripts. These codes included labels such as
14 'surprise', 'using the senses', 'multiplicity', and 'enacting'. This code list was refined into the three
15 service design practices of 'sensing surprise', 'perceiving multiples', and 'embodying alternatives'
16 through the techniques of bridging, which combines related codes, and surfacing, which illuminates
17 missing categories (Miles et al., 2014).
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Definitions of the three service design practices that reshape mental models were developed and
32 related project examples were identified from the data collected. Through consideration of these
33 examples, components and conditions for each of these practices were delineated. Two researchers
34 with experience in the field were involved in the iterative process of defining and delineating these
35 practices, seeking contradictory views, redundancies, and new insights throughout the process of
36 analysis (Erickson and Stull, 1998). Two other researchers offered an outside perspective, questioning
37 the interpretations and providing regular feedback on the analysis. Aligned with the process of analytic
38 induction, additional practice examples were investigated in the later stage, including searching for
39 negative evidence to refine the understanding of each of the practices. Practice examples were
40 examined to see if they fit the conceptualization of any of the practice types which informed revisions
41 to the definitions of the practices, their components, and their enabling conditions. A matrix and visual
42 representations (Fetterman, 1998) were used to compare and contrast these different practices and
43 explore their relationships. Analysis of these practices continued with organizational actors by
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 working together to craft descriptive narratives of key practice examples. Separate from this
4 ethnographic study, three workshops were held to verify the results of the study by asking participants
5 to draw their mental models of a healthcare situation, engage in the identified service design practices,
6 and then discuss perceived changes in their mental models through the process.
7
8
9

10 11 **Findings**

12
13
14 Based on the analysis of data from Experio Lab, this section provides details on how service design
15 practices are reshaping the mental models of actors in the Swedish healthcare system. First, the
16 different types of service design practices that contribute to changing mental models and their enabling
17 conditions are described. Then, narratives from the field are used to contextualize these practices and
18 illuminate the relationship between these practices in reshaping mental models.
19
20
21
22

23 24 *Three Types of Service Design Practices that Reshape Mental Models*

25
26 Accounts of actors changing their mental models were repeated in many different environments and
27 locations where service design practices were employed in the Swedish healthcare system. Some
28 actors' described it as experiencing an 'aha' moment or having 'something click in their heads', which
29 enabled them to do things in new ways. The service design practices associated with reshaping mental
30 models were categorized into three distinct types: sensing surprise, perceiving multiples, and
31 embodying alternatives. Table 1 summarizes each of these practice types, their components, and their
32 enabling conditions. *Sensing surprise* involves experiencing a bodily sensation that challenges an
33 actor's existing mental model. *Perceiving multiples* involves becoming sensitive to alternative mental
34 models through interactions with other actors. *Embodying alternatives* involves enacting different
35 mental models to understand their implications. These service design practices were enacted within
36 service design processes by actors across organizational roles, including clinicians, administrators,
37 developers, managers, designers, and patients. Illustrative evidence for the practices can be found in
38 Appendix 1 and for the enabling conditions in Appendix 2. Below these three practice types and their
39 enabling conditions are described in more detail.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 [Table 1 approximately here]
55
56
57
58
59
60

Sensing Surprise

The practices of sensing surprise expose actors' existing mental models and help them understand their fallibility. This process of disruption often happens through an experience of an unexpected event or stimulus. One interviewee describes her experience doing observations as part of a service design project as follows:

“We were out doing the research for five days at the hospital. We were really open-minded and trying to collect everything we could see. We thought when we started that it might be like this and it might be like this. But it is not going to be what you think from the start . . . There are a lot of surprises.” (5,1)

It is these surprises that challenge actors existing mental models about particular situations. An actors' senses play a prominent role in their experience of this disruption. Another interviewee highlights the importance of the senses in catalyzing this shift in the following quote:

“And you know what happened there, when you use all your senses, and you smell. People are scared, they are sick, and the nurses just leave. I saw people crying because they didn't like the situation. Something happened with [the physician] when I said, do you smell that? He started to look and take a more curious perspective.” (1, 1)

By engaging his sense of smell, the physician became aware of aspects of the situation that he was currently blocking out in his daily practice. This practice of sensing surprise is generally a direct, first-hand experience. During this experience, actors' often recognize feeling a sense of shock or awe. One designer describes the experience of sensing surprise as follows:

“It is like, there is a light in their eyes. They stop what they are doing. Freeze for a fraction of a minute. You can feel it in your body. It is a very bodily feeling – like being overthrown or lit up. It is like finding Christ. They are filled with emotion . . . and all of the sudden they see all these flaws and opportunities everywhere . . . It goes into your spine. You feel, you hear, you see. If someone just tells you, it doesn't go into your bones. You have to have a personal insight.” (12, 1)

1
2
3 However, not all service design projects involved the practice of sensing surprise. Sometimes despite
4 the efforts of service designers and other project leaders, this practice did not transpire because some
5 of the enabling conditions were not met. These practices did take place when there were provocative
6 situations staged, such as doing deliberate observations in a particular context. Actors' sensitivity to
7 the context and engagement in the process was also a strong enabling factor. Furthermore, the process
8 of noticing surprises was enhanced in projects where some actors took on coaching roles to guide
9 others' reflection and encourage them to tap into their bodily senses. A healthcare leader highlighted
10 the value of this coaching role by saying:

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 "I will make sure that I have these coaches for each moment. They need someone to inspire
20 them or guide them." (10, 1)
21
22

23
24 This way of going out into situations and taking in surprising information through the senses was
25 foundational to the reshaping of mental models through service design. By noticing new things that
26 existing mental models would have otherwise filtered out, actors began to challenge their existing
27 mental models and recognize that these models are not always accurate or complete depictions of a
28 situation.
29
30
31
32
33

34 35 *Perceiving Multiples*

36
37 The service design practices of perceiving multiples involve making alternative mental models for a
38 situation explicit and apprehensible. By bringing diverse actors together to interact and dialogue,
39 actors are exposed to mental models that are divergent from their own and may begin to appreciate a
40 multiplicity of perspectives. One practitioner reflected on the value of integrating multiple ways of
41 understanding a situation by saying:
42
43
44
45
46

47
48 "They have their backpacks so to say. They bring their experiences and their perspectives. And I
49 think that is important to be open to other people's perspective. They have their perspective and
50 we have our perspective, and how does that affect each other?" (5, 2)
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Perceiving multiples involves a process of actors bringing together their ways of looking at the world
4 based on their previous experience and unpacking how these perspectives might be divergent and
5 overlapping. By exposing actors to different ways of understanding the same situation, perceiving
6
7 multiples helps actors to recognize that many mental models are possible and can co-exist. Part of how
8
9 these alternative perspectives are surfaced is through different methods of interaction. One educator
10
11 involved in a service design project reinforced the value of these methods:
12
13

14
15 “I think we are so different and express ourselves in different ways. You can reach more
16
17 people by doing this... It connects with other parts of our brain when you put it into different
18
19 forms of interaction.” (8, 1)
20
21

22
23 In the service design projects in the Swedish health care system, perceiving multiples often happened
24 through facilitated sharing sessions with supported visual or tangible tools. Within Experio Lab in
25
26 Västernorrland County, the team often facilitates a particular workshop by having participants draw
27
28 representations of themselves personally and professionally within a silhouette and share it with
29
30 others. They found that these drawings really helped to open up the discussion and encourage people
31
32 to be vulnerable with each other. While this workshop often seemed to catalyze transformational shifts
33
34 in actors’ mental models of each other, not all workshops had the necessary conditions for this change:
35
36

37
38 “If you create an atmosphere where people can share themselves as human beings, there is
39
40 potential. They understand that someone else might see things differently. But one group was
41
42 damp. They didn’t trust each other. I thought - do we have enough security? Is it safe enough?”
43
44 (12, 2)
45

46
47 While feelings of conflict, unease, or being overwhelmed often seemed to correspond to the practices
48
49 of perceiving multiples, the openness and safety of actors to share their perspectives was a prominent
50
51 enabling factor. In some service design processes, actors with divergent perspectives remained silent
52
53 because they did not feel comfortable sharing alternative perspectives. This prevented other possible
54
55 mental models from being exposed and understood. In some cases, thoughtful facilitation helped to
56
57 shift the tone within the group and encourage the sharing of perspectives. Furthermore, in a number of
58
59

1
2
3 service design projects, there was not sufficient diversity among the actors who were participating to
4 enable this practice. For example, in a workshop with a cohesive clinical team where patients were not
5 able to be recruited, it was difficult to move beyond simply reinforcing participating actors existing
6 assumptions. The enabling conditions of diversity, openness, safety, visual or tangible artefacts, and
7 skilled facilitation were significant factors in whether the interaction supported within the service
8 design projects cultivated the practices of perceiving multiples.
9
10
11
12
13

14 *Embodying Alternatives*

15
16 The practices of embodying alternatives include the physical testing or enactment of different ways of
17 working based on possible mental models. Actors acknowledged that this embodiment of alternatives
18 was core to the changes elicited through service design practices. One actor interviewed reinforced this
19 by saying:
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 “The thing that design brings is experimentation. It is about creating the environment to test and
27 experience something.” (14, 1)
28
29
30

31 These practices of experimentation involve an iterative process of testing out and adapting different
32 mental models. Engaging actors in building out or enacting new mental models helped participants
33 recognize that other ways of doing things were possible and aided them in carrying forward new
34 routines into their everyday life. One common way of embodying alternatives within service design
35 projects was through the use of role play. Below, one designer shares an experience she had with a
36 physician who, by acting out alternative approaches to patient care, unconsciously shifted her current
37 mental model and way of operating within her practice:
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 “When a doctor was talking about her role-playing experience, she said that it was nothing new,
47 but later she told me that one of the sketches that she was involved in changed the way she did
48 things. She wasn’t aware she had been affected. . . A lot of times, it goes to the back of your
49 head. It is unconscious, but it goes quite deep.” (12, 3)
50
51
52
53

54 The practices of embodying multiples often brought with them feelings of uncertain optimism or even
55 frustration. At the time of doing the role play, the physician being referred to in the quote above was
56
57
58
59

1
2
3 feeling quite agitated by the process. Furthermore, this practice of embodying alternatives seems to
4 benefit from bringing actors into a different environment and using supportive physical materials to
5 enact different mental models. One physician reflected on the importance of context and physical
6 materials after going into patients' homes as part of a service design project by saying:
7
8
9

10
11 "When I am out in someone's home in my private clothes, it makes me see other aspects of their
12 problems than if they come into my office and I am in my white pyjamas. It's about role-playing
13 in a way. When I am in my professional role I wear white pyjamas and it transforms me. I have
14 a very strong feeling that the clothes that you put on at work and other artefacts really affect
15 you." (13, 1)
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 While the practices of embodying alternatives are iterative, some actors were not enabled to continue
23 these practices in an ongoing way because of a lack of support in their everyday contexts to repeat and
24 continue to change their ways of working. In some projects, the existing structures within the
25 healthcare unit did not allow for the flexibility to continue these alternative practices.
26
27
28
29

30 *Dynamics of Service Design Practices*

31
32

33 The practices of sensing surprise, perceiving multiples and embodying alternatives are not entirely
34 distinct, but rather intimately connected and often, although not always, co-dependent. Sometimes one
35 of these practices leads to another practice that continues to reshape actors' mental models. Often the
36 practices of sensing surprise opened actors up for perceiving multiples, which then provided an
37 impetus for embodying alternatives. Furthermore, the practice of embodying alternatives could lead
38 again to sensing surprise. Below is a narrative from the field about Edith, a nurse who experienced this
39 iterative process of reshaping mental models in one of Experio Lab's first service design projects:
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 *Edith, a nurse who had been working for forty years, was one of dozens of healthcare*
49 *providers from across the hospital that were brought together every Friday to reenact the*
50 *patient experience before, during and after treatment. On one particular day, Edith lay there*
51 *strapped down on the stretcher playing the role of the patient. The world blurred by as she*
52 *was rushed through the hospital corridors. She noticed the lights passing by overhead and*
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *started to count the dots on the ceiling. She felt powerless with no control over where she was*
4 *being taken. The experience was not what she expected. She had been through this process*
5 *thousands of times, but seeing it and feeling it from this angle took her off guard.*
6
7

8
9 *Following the role play, when Edith and her colleagues reflected on their own*
10 *experience, she started to feel ashamed. Edith had thought she was pretty good at her job. She*
11 *had talked a lot about 'patient-centered care', but now she realized that she had not fully*
12 *understood the perspective of the patient. Provoked by her experience, Edith started to think*
13 *differently about patient care. She said that: "afterwards it was often about being able to stop*
14 *for half a second and ask myself if it was so extremely important to put on that blood pressure*
15 *cuff now or should I let the patient breath a few minutes, show them where the toilets are, get*
16 *a glass of water to create a positive situation, and take in the patient's needs." Unfortunately,*
17 *Edith faced resistance in her new way of doing things from her team and eventually changed*
18 *jobs to a new unit out of frustration.*
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 In this case, Edith first had an unsettling experience on the stretcher that challenged her current mental
32 model (sensing surprise); she then started to apprehend different perspectives on patient care
33 (perceiving multiples); next, she tried out new models of care when seeing patients in the hospital
34 (embodying alternatives); and then ran into unexpected barriers when trying to do things differently in
35 her nursing practice (sensing surprise). However, this particular sequence of practices was not always
36 the case in service design projects. Below is a narrative of Sofia who experienced a different sequence
37 and relationship between these practices:
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 *Sofia, a social worker from the local youth mental health clinic, was involved in a*
46 *two-year-long service design project. As part of this project, the team held a prototyping*
47 *workshop that brought together youth from the local school, teachers, politicians and a*
48 *variety of clinical staff. The room was humming with excitement as they built out their visions.*
49 *One youth was talking about creating a new subject for mental health in school and another*
50 *had the idea to make a system for teachers to monitor their students' workload and emotions*
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *so that they could adjust their homework accordingly. Around the table, the youth were*
4 *eagerly prototyping their ideas with lego and craft supplies. Sofia had never worked in this*
5 *way before. As a social worker supporting youth in crisis, she was always in the expert role.*
6
7
8 *Even sometimes when she went to the grocery store, she would be stopped because someone*
9 *needed her help.*

10
11
12 *However, during the workshop, she was taking a back seat and listening to youth's*
13 *ideas about how they might better manage their mental health. It was challenging not to have*
14 *the solutions for everything and to let youth take the lead, but, in doing so, Sofia realized how*
15 *resourceful the youth seemed. She never got the chance to appreciate that in counseling*
16 *sessions. Seeing youth as increasingly capable, opened Sofia up for interactions with youth*
17 *that she had not had before. She started to ask questions differently to youth during her*
18 *counseling sessions and changed how she answered the phone. Instead of overwhelming youth*
19 *with specific questions about their eating and sleeping patterns, she left their conversations*
20 *more open. Sofia even started to advocate to her colleagues at the clinic why further changes*
21 *in their ways of working were needed and helped to involve them in other service design*
22 *workshops so that they could experience some of these things first hand.*
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 In a slightly different sequence, Sofia took on the role of a facilitator at the prototyping workshop
36 which sparked her to enact a mental model for interacting with youth that was fundamentally different
37 to the expert-client mental model that she regularly enacted (embodying alternatives). By taking on a
38 different role, Sofia began to get a new understanding of youth's perspective on mental health
39 (perceiving multiples). However, during this process, Sofia was never really viscerally provoked to
40 challenge her existing expert-patient mental model and when prompted said she did not see the two as
41 mutually exclusive. However, she did start to change some of her ways of working within the clinic,
42 such as answering the phone differently (embodying alternatives).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 As these narratives show, there is significant interplay between these services design practices, but
53 they do not necessarily happen within one particular sequence. An analysis of the patterns in these and
54 other narratives highlights that the enactment of one of these practices can trigger the other two
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 practices to occur by creating one or more of the enabling conditions for the latter practices. However,
4 these practices only take place if all of the necessary conditions for these practices exist. As such, there
5 were countless different combinations of these practices that occurred over time within the various
6 service design processes, based on the evolving conditions. Table 2 summarizes some of the most
7 common combinations of service design practices enacted based on these and other narratives. In
8 Edith's case, the practice of sensing surprise led to perceiving multiples by facilitating greater
9 openness toward other perspectives. The practice of perceiving multiples contributed to embodying
10 alternatives, in this case by creating video footage and reflective maps that aided the nurse in thinking
11 about how different mental models could be enacted along the patient journey. Then the practice of
12 embodying alternatives triggered sensing surprise by staging a provocative situation within the nurse's
13 clinical team. In Sofia's case, embodying alternatives led to perceiving multiples and then again to
14 embodying alternatives, but not to sensing surprise because she did not experience a provoking
15 situation nor did she receive coaching at that time that helped her to see things that challenged her
16 existing mental model.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 [Table 2 approximately here]
32
33

34 *Reshaping Mental Models*

35

36
37 The narratives from the field illuminate not only how service design practices contribute to reshaping
38 mental models, but also that changes in mental models can contribute to the further and ongoing
39 enactment of service design practices. For example, in both Edith & Sofia's situations their changed
40 mental models contributed to them embodying alternatives within another context, and for Sofia,
41 encouraging her colleagues to do the same. While the narratives focus on the experiences of one
42 individual, they touch on some of the interactions between actors with different mental models in
43 relation to these service design practices. Sometimes, such as between Sofia and the youth at the
44 workshop, having actors with different mental models can support the enactment of one or more of
45 these service design practices. However, when the enabling conditions do not exist, such as when
46 Edith attempted to continue to embody alternatives within her clinical team, actors' differing or
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 changed mental models did not inherently contribute to the enactment of these service design practices
4 within the given context. As such, the enabling conditions of sensing surprise, perceiving multiples
5 and embodying alternatives are central to the ongoing process of reshaping mental models through
6 service design practices.
7
8
9

10
11 Furthermore, it should be noted that in many cases these service design practices were themselves
12 based on alternative mental models that differed from those of the practices that actors regularly enact.
13 In the case of Edith, playing the role of the patient on the stretcher is itself based on a mental model
14 that assumes the importance of the patient experience. By enacting this service design practice, Edith
15 was able to challenge the failability of her own mental model. As such, the narratives show that
16 service design practices and mental models influence each other and cannot be fully disentangled.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23


24 These narratives also expose how reshaping mental models through service design practices can
25 enable institutional work with the potential to change institutional arrangements. Edith's experience of
26 playing the role of the patient contributes to disrupting the existing institutional arrangements in the
27 hospital by eroding the perceived value of the institutionalized ways of working for nurses. In
28 addition, as Sofia starts to enact the role of the facilitator, rather than an expert, she contributes to
29 creating new institutional arrangements that diverge from those of the professional relationship
30 entrenched within the clinical setting. These narratives reveal that reshaping mental models through
31 service design practices is intertwined with processes of institutional work. Further illustrative
32 evidence of institutional work in connection to service design practices can be found in Appendix 7.
33
34 Both narratives illuminate the dynamic negotiation between mental models and institutional
35 arrangements. Individuals might alter their mental models, but to innovate they must also work within
36 existing institutional arrangements to create more wide-spread changes that align with alternative
37 mental models.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Implications and Future Research**

51
52
53 This section considers the implications of this paper to service research and practice, as well as the
54 limitations and opportunities for future research.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Theoretical Implications

The purpose of this paper is to analyze how service design practices can reshape mental models to enable innovation in service ecosystems. In doing so, this paper builds theory on innovation in service ecosystems through service design connecting the macro view of innovation as institutional work with the micro view of innovation as reshaping actors' mental models. From the ethnographic study, three types of service design practices were identified (sensing surprise, perceiving multiples and embodying alternatives) that contribute to reshaping mental models. The findings also reinforce that the enactment of one of these practices can prompt other service design practices to occur by contributing to the enabling conditions. Furthermore, the findings highlight that reshaping mental models can in turn contribute to the further enactment of service design practices, but only if the enabling conditions for those practices exist. The dynamics between service design practices and reshaping mental models in innovation at the micro-level are depicted in Figure 2. Linking back to the conceptual framework presented earlier, by reshaping mental models, service design practices make innovation, conceptualized at the macro-level as institutional work, possible amid existing institutional arrangements. As such, this paper has two main implications for service research: 1) extending the understanding of innovation from a service ecosystem perspective by highlighting the role of reshaping mental models at the micro-level, and 2) delineating how service design practices contribute to reshaping mental models and enabling innovation through an ethnographic study.



*contribute(s) to = \longleftrightarrow , possible sequence = $\leftarrow - \rightarrow$

Figure 2. Micro-level dynamics of innovation through service design practices

The first implication, while inspired by and contextualized through the field work, is derived mainly from the unification of dispersed existent literature into an integrative conceptual framework (MacInnis, 2011). By drawing together research on innovation in service ecosystems, mental models, and service design practices, this conceptual framework explains the micro-macro relations of innovation in service ecosystems through service design. This framework extends existing literature on innovation from a service ecosystem perspective, which has previously focused on the macro-level process of changing institutional arrangements (Koskela-Huotari et al., 2016; Vargo et al., 2015), by highlighting the corresponding micro-level practices of reshaping mental models. In doing so, this study addresses the need for research at the level of the individual actor (Battilana and D'Aunno, 2009) and contributes to developing the micro-foundations for the service ecosystem perspective that has been in need of further development (Wilden et al., 2017). By delineating the interplay between mental models and institutional arrangements, this research helps to explain how actors can act in divergent ways amid existing institutional pressures by reshaping their own mental models using service design practices. In doing so, this study helps to make the service ecosystem view of innovation more implementable for organizations and relevant for informing research on innovation strategy.

1
2
3 While the concept of mental models has been absent from service research in recent years (Strandvik
4 et al., 2014), the conceptual framework calls out the importance of the neglected role of mental models
5 and details how reshaping mental models at the micro-level is needed for actors to engage in changing
6 institutional arrangements at a macro-level. By zooming in on the practices of reshaping mental
7 models, this research sheds light on the critical cognitive aspects that have been overlooked in
8 previous accounts of how actors are able to change institutional arrangements (Suddaby et al., 2016).
9 Furthermore, this research highlights that actors are not simply *embedded in* their social context
10 (Edvardsson et al., 2011), but collectively construct this context through their own mental models. As
11 such, shaping social context to enable innovation (Koskela-Huotari et al, 2016; Vargo et al., 2015),
12 requires that actors are not only changing something ‘out there’, but actually changing their own
13 beliefs and assumptions. With the demonstrated relevance of focusing on reshaping actors mental
14 models at a micro-level, empirical studies and experiments related to the systems perspective on
15 innovation (Helkkula et al., 2017) become more accessible for researchers.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 The second implication, while informed by existing literature on service design and mental models,
30 was derived mainly from 18 months of fieldwork on service design practices in the Swedish healthcare
31 system. Through a rich ethnographic study, this research contributes to providing a grounded,
32 contextual and practical understanding of how actors can reshape their mental models to enable
33 innovation through service design. Existing research suggests that service design can be a catalyst for
34 innovation (Andreassen et al., 2016), but there has been a need to deepen the understanding of the
35 connection between service design and innovation (Patrício et al., 2017). To strengthen this link, this
36 study builds on existing research that highlights service design as a means of doing institutional work
37 (Wetter-Edman et al, 2018) by providing a nuanced understanding of the three types of service design
38 practices that reshape mental models. This research suggests that it is through these practices, rather
39 than the methods and tools that have been in focus in previous research (Karpen et al., 2017), that
40 service design drives innovation in service ecosystems. While the identified practices may not be
41 exclusive to service design, these practices are nonetheless central its process of catalyzing innovation
42 at the micro-level. With greater knowledge regarding the conceptual links between service design
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 practices and innovation, as well as related contingencies, research can better explain how and when
4 service design contributes to institutional change and better inform service designers and service
5 managers on the environments they need to create to catalyze innovation.
6
7

8
9 Furthermore, this research helps to answer lingering questions about if and how service design
10 practices might help explain aspects of service-dominant logic through a micro-foundations approach
11 (Karpen et al., 2017; Kurtmollaiev et al. 2017), with a particular focus on innovation in service
12 ecosystems. This study links previous research highlighting the importance of actors aesthetic
13 experiences in service design (Stephens and Boland, 2014; Wetter-Edman et al., 2018) with existing
14 literature on design cognition (Dorst, 2011), by illuminating the practices of reshaping mental models
15 through service design. This research also provides a rationale for previous studies that highlight the
16 importance of surprises (Stompff et al., 2016) and team diversity (Trischler et al., 2018) in service
17 design. By detailing the service design practices that reshape mental models, providing narrative
18 accounts and synthesizing enabling conditions, this research advances theory on service design
19 practices that has been previously neglected (Karpen et al., 2017). More specifically, the descriptions
20 of these three service design practices and their enabling conditions support the development of
21 process measures for service design that can aid in diagnosing whether service design efforts are on
22 track for innovation and inform what can be done to support course corrections. Furthermore, this
23 research responds to emerging interest in service design as a cornerstone for transformative service
24 research (Patrício et al., 2017), particularly within healthcare (Anderson et al., 2018), by detailing how
25 service design can be used to shift mental models and institutional arrangements that may be getting in
26 the way of patient engagement, collaborative patient-provider relationships and health equity.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 *Practical Implications*

46
47
48 Without a strong theoretical underpinning of the link between service design and innovation, it has
49 been difficult for service managers and service designers to understand how best to measure service
50 design projects. Rather than measuring the success of service design initiatives based on outputs, such
51 as the number of new touchpoints or service offerings created, the findings of this research highlight
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 process measures that can help practitioners understand if they are on track for innovation over the
4 long term. In particular, service managers and service designers could measure changes in mental
5 models through, for example, before and after surveys of service design participants or a series of
6 visualizations done over time by participants depicting a particular aspect of the service ecosystem that
7 is in focus (e.g. the doctor-patient relationship). Furthermore, using the descriptions of the three
8 service design practices that reshape mental models—sensing surprise, perceiving multiples, and
9 embodying alternatives—practitioners can capture stories of reshaping mental models as they occur to
10 inform their ongoing efforts to innovate and help explain the value of the process to those who are new
11 to service design.

20
21 By identifying the enabling conditions for the three service design practices (summarized in Table 1),
22 this research highlights some of the different approaches and environments that are beneficial for
23 reshaping mental models. The role of coaching to support participants in noticing new things that
24 might challenge their existing mental models was of particular relevance for sensing surprise.
25 Practitioners could benefit from being coached through processes of self-reflection with video or
26 exploratory self-documentation using design probes to help them deconstruct how their mental models
27 are influencing their actions. Furthermore, the need for skillful facilitation amidst conflict and
28 resistance was found to be critical for supporting the practice of perceiving multiples. Cocreating
29 physical models or finding visual metaphors that represent actors' different ways of perceiving service
30 ecosystems could be a promising basis for facilitating a rich and open dialogue amid conflicting
31 perspectives. In addition, to enable the practice of embodying alternatives, it was important for actors
32 to move into different contexts that had supportive physical materials. For example, in healthcare, it
33 might be beneficial to bring a clinical team into an empty wing of the hospital to roleplay ways of
34 working with new mental models. In general, investing in and utilizing the aesthetic competencies of
35 service designers to consider the appropriate materials and stage supportive environments can aid in
36 the process of intentionally reshaping mental models.

37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 *Limitations and Future Research*

1
2
3 As an underpinning of institutional arrangements, the authors of this paper believe that research on
4 mental models can aid in moving beyond the macro-level focus that has been dominant in the
5 literature on service ecosystems (Vargo and Lusch, 2016) and help to flesh out the dynamics of the
6 micro-foundations approach associated with service design (Karpen et al., 2017; Kurtmollaiev et al.,
7 2017). Further empirical investigation and intentional experimentation could aid in developing a more
8 refined and robust understanding of the relationship between service design practices and mental
9 models that have been proposed here. In this study mental models were not explicitly measured, but
10 rather inferred through interpretation of actors' practices and conversations. While this is typical of
11 ethnography, future research could set up experiments to examine changes in mental models more
12 explicitly. An additional limitation of the present study is that it is based in only one context. Future
13 research should aim to generalize and extend the findings of this study by investigating other contexts
14 of innovation. Does innovation in all service ecosystems depend on reshaping actors' mental models?
15 Are additional service design practices used to reshape mental models in other contexts? Do new
16 enabling conditions for these practices arise in other contexts?
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 Future research could focus on how specific service design methods and tools contribute to reshaping
32 mental models. For example, how do service blueprints (Bitner et al., 2008) or experience rooms
33 (Edvardsson and Enquist, 2010) encourage the service design practices that alter actors' mental
34 models? There is also a need to investigate how service design methods could be refined or new
35 service design methods developed to more effectively reshape mental models to foster innovation.
36 This study focused explicitly on the practices associated with *reshaping* mental models, but as the
37 importance of maintenance is increasingly recognized in the study of institutional work (Siebert et al.,
38 2017), future research is needed to examine the practices that intentionally reinforce or strengthen
39 existing mental models. Furthermore, while this study focuses on the micro-level of individual actors
40 over a relatively short period of time, further study is needed to understand if these changes in mental
41 models continue over long time periods, as well as when and how they translate into changes in shared
42 mental models in service ecosystems. While there is great potential for innovation in service
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ecosystem through such an approach, there is also a pressing need for discussion and investigation into the ethical and political implications of these practices that reshape mental models.

References

- Akaka, M. A., Vargo, S. and Wieland, H. (2017), "Extending the context of innovation: the co-creation and institutionalization of technology and markets", in Russo-Spena, T., Mele, C., and Nuutinen, M. (Eds.), *Innovating in Practice: Perspectives and Experiences*, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 43-57.
- Akama, Y. and Prendiville, A. (2013), "Embodying, enacting and entangling design: a phenomenological view to co-designing services", *Swedish Design Journal*, Vol. 1 No. 13, pp. 29-40.
- Anderson, S., Nasr, L. and Rayburn, S. W. (2018), "Transformative service research and service design: synergistic effects in healthcare", *The Service Industries Journal*, Vol. 38 No. 1-2, pp. 99-113.
- Andreassen, T. W., Kristensson, P., Lervik-Olsen, L., Parasuraman, A., McColl-Kennedy, J.R., Edvardsson, B. and Colurcio, M. (2016), "Linking service design to value creation and service research", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol 27. No. 1, pp. 21–29.
- Artman, H. (1999), *Fördelade kunskapsprocesser i ledningscentraler vid nödsituationer: koordination och situationsmedvetenhet*, Doctoral Dissertation, Linköping University, Linköping.
- Battilana, J. and D'Aunno, T. (2009), "Institutional work and the paradox of embedded agency", In Lawrence, T., Suddaby, R. and Leca, B. (Eds.), *Institutional Work: Actors and Agency in Institutional Studies of Organizations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 31–58.
- Berggren, P. (2016), *Assessing Shared Strategic Understanding*, Doctoral dissertation, Linköping University, Linköping.
- Berry, L.L. and Bendapudi, N. (2007), "Healthcare: a fertile field for service research", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 111-122.

- 1
2
3 Bitner, M.J., Ostrom, A.L. and Morgan, F. N. (2008), "Service blueprinting: a practical technique for
4 service innovation", *California Management Review*, Vol. 50 No. 3, pp. 66-94.
5
6
7 Blomkvist, J., Holmlid, S. and Segelström, F. (2010), "This is service design research: yesterday,
8 today and tomorrow", in Stickdorn, M. and Schneider, J. (Eds.), *This Is Service Design Thinking*, BIS
9 Publishers, Amsterdam, pp. 308-315.
10
11
12
13
14 Chandler, J. D., and Vargo, S. L. (2011), "Contextualization and value-in-context: how context frames
15 exchange", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 35-49.
16
17
18
19 Chandy, R. K. and Tellis, G. J. (1998), "Organizing for radical product innovation: the overlooked role
20 of willingness to cannibalize", *Journal of Marketing Research*, pp. 474-487.
21
22
23
24 Collins and Gentner (1987), "How people construct mental models", In Holland, D. and Quinn, N.
25 (Eds.), *Cultural Models in Language and Thought*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 243-
26 265.
27
28
29
30 Craik, K. (1943), *The Nature of Explanation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
31
32
33 Dahlbäck, N., Kristiansson, M. and Stjernberg, F. (2013), "Distributed remembering through active
34 structuring of activities and environments", *Review of Philosophy and Psychology*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp.
35 153-165.
36
37
38
39
40 Dean, J. P., Eichorn, R. L. and Dean, L. R. (1967), "Fruitful informants for intensive interviewing",
41 Doby, J. (Ed.), *An Introduction to Social Research*, Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York, pp. 284-286.
42
43
44
45 Denzau, A.T. and North, D.C., (1994), "Shared mental models: ideologies and institutions", *KYKLOS*,
46 Vol. 47, pp. 3-31.
47
48
49
50 Dequech, D. (2013), "Logics of action, provisioning domains, and institutions: Provisioning
51 institutional logics", *Journal of Economic Issues*, Vol. 47 No.1, pp. 95-112.
52
53
54
55 Dorst, K. (2011), "The core of 'design thinking' and its application," *Design Studies*, Vol. 32, pp. 521-
56 532.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Edvardsson, B. and Enquist, B. (2010), "Design dimensions of experience rooms for service test
4 drives: case studies in several service contexts", *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*,
5 Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 312–327.
6
7

8
9 Edvardsson, B. and Tronvoll, B. (2013), "A new conceptualization of service innovation grounded in
10 S-D logic and service systems", *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, Vol. 5 No. 1,
11 pp. 19-31.
12
13

14
15 Edvardsson, B., Tronvoll, B. and Gruber, T. (2011), "Expanding understanding of service exchange
16 and value co-creation: a social construction approach", *Journal of Academic Marketing*, Vol. 39, pp.
17 327–339.
18
19

20
21 Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989), "Building theories from case study research", *Academy of Management*
22 *Review*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 532-550.
23
24

25
26 Erickson, K. and Stull, D. (1998), *Doing Team Ethnography: Warnings and Advice*, Sage Publications
27 Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA.
28
29

30
31 Fetterman, D. M. (1998), *Ethnography: Step-by-Step* (2nd Ed.), Applied Social Research Methods
32 Series, Vol. 17, SAGE, London.
33
34

35
36 Flyvbjerg, B. (2006), "Five misunderstandings about case-study research", *Qualitative Inquiry*, Vol.
37 12 No. 2, pp. 219-245.
38
39

40
41 Frake, C. O. (1962), "Cultural ecology and ethnography", *American Anthropologist*, Vol. 64 No.1, pp.
42 53-59.
43
44

45
46 Friedland, R. and Alford, R. R. (1991), "Bringing society back in: symbols, practices and institutional
47 contradictions", In Powell, W.W. and DiMaggio, P.J. (Eds.), *The New Institutionalism in*
48 *Organizational Analysis*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 232-263.
49
50

51
52 Gangemi, A., Mancini, F., and Johnson-Laird, P. N. (2013), "Models and cognitive change in
53 psychopathology", *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, Vol. 25, pp. 157-164.
54
55

1
2
3 Greenwood, R., Oliver, C., Sahlin, K. and Suddaby, R. (2008), Introduction. In R. Greenwood, C.
4 Oliver, K. Sahlin & R. Suddaby (Eds.), *SAGE Handbook of Organizational Institutionalism*, London,
5 SAGE, pp. 1-46.
6

7
8
9 Guiette, A. and Vandenbempt, K. (2013), "Exploring team mental model dynamics during strategic
10 change implementation in professional service organizations: a sensemaking perspective", *European*
11 *Management Journal*, Vol. 31 No. 6, pp. 728-744.
12
13

14
15
16 Hammersley, M. (1989), *The Dilemma of Qualitative Method: Herbert Blumer and the Chicago*
17 *Tradition*, Routledge, London.
18

19
20
21 Hammersley, M. and Atkinson, P. (1995), *Ethnography: Principles in Practice* (2nd Ed.), Routledge,
22 London.
23

24
25
26 Hampel, C., Lawrence, T. B. and Tracey, P. (2015), "Institutional work: taking stock and making it
27 matter", In Greenwood, R., Oliver, C., Lawrence, T. B. and Meyer, R., (Eds.), *SAGE Handbook of*
28 *Organizational Institutionalism* (2nd ed.), SAGE, London, U.K.
29
30

31
32
33 Hegarty, M., Stieff, M. and Dixon, B. L. (2013). "Cognitive change in mental models with experience
34 in the domain of organic chemistry", *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, Vol. 25, pp. 220-228.
35
36

37
38
39 Helkkula, A., Kowalkowski, C., and Tronvoll, B. (2017), "Archetypes of service innovation:
40 implications for value cocreation", *Journal of Service Research*, pp. 1-18,
41 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670517746776>
42

43
44
45 Holmes, D.R. and Marcus, G.E. (2008), "Para-ethnography", Given, L.M. (Ed.), *SAGE Encyclopedia*
46 *of Qualitative Research Methods*, SAGE, Thousand Oaks, CA.
47

48
49
50 Holmlid, S., Wetter-Edman, K., and Edvardsson, B. (2017), "Breaking free from NSD: design and
51 service beyond new service development", In Sangiorgi, D. and Prendiville, A. (Eds.), *Designing for*
52 *Service: Key Issues and New Directions*, Bloomsbury, New York.
53
54

55
56
57 Hutchins, E. (1995), *Cognition in the Wild*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
58
59
60

1
2
3 Hutchins, E. (2014), "The cultural ecosystem of human cognition", *Philosophical Psychology*, Vol. 27
4 No. 1, pp. 34-49.

6
7 Islam, G. (2015), "Practitioners as theorists: para-ethnography and the collaborative study of
8 contemporary organizations", *Organizational Research Methods*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 231-251.

10
11 Johnson-Laird, P.N. (2013), "Mental models and cognitive change", *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*,
12 Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 131-138.

14
15 Johnson-Laird, P.N. (2010), "Mental models and human reasoning", *Proceedings of the National
16 Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 107 No. 43, pp. 18243-18250.

18
19 Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1980), "Mental models in cognitive science", *Cognitive Science*, Vol. 4 No. 1,
20 pp. 71-115.

22
23 Johnson-Laird, P.N. and Savary, F. (1999), "Illusory inferences: a novel class of erroneous
24 deductions", *Cognition*, Vol. 71, pp. 191-229.

26
27 Karpen, I. O., Gemser, G. and Calabretta, G. (2017), "A multilevel consideration of service design
28 conditions: towards a portfolio of organisational capabilities, interactive practices and individual
29 abilities", *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 384-407.

31
32 Khemlani, S. and Johnson-Laird, P. N. (2013), "Cognitive changes from explorations", *Journal of
33 Cognitive Psychology*, Vol. 25, pp. 131-138.

35
36 Kimbell, L. (2011), "Designing for service as one way of designing services", *International Journal of
37 Design*, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 41-52.

39
40 Koskela-Huotari, K., Edvardsson, B., Jonas, J.M., Sörhammar, D. and Witell, L. (2016), "Innovation
41 in service ecosystems: breaking, making and maintaining institutionalized rules of resource
42 integration", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 69 No. 8, pp. 2964-2971.

- 1
2
3 Kurtmollaiev, S., Fjuk, A., Pedersen, P. E., Clatworthy, S. and Kvale, K. (2018), "Organizational
4 transformation through service design: the institutional logics perspective", *Journal of Service*
5 *Research*, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 59-74.
6
7
8
9 Lawrence, T.B. and Suddaby, R. (2006), "Institutions and institutional work," In Glegg, S., Hardy, C.,
10 Lawrence, T.B., and Nord, W.R. (Eds.), *Handbook of Organization Studies*, 2nd Ed., Sage, London,
11 pp. 215-254.
12
13
14
15
16 Lusch, R. F. and Vargo, S. L. (2014), *Service-Dominant Logic: Premises, Perspectives, Possibilities*,
17 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
18
19
20
21 Lusch, R., and Nambisan, S. (2015), "Service innovation: a service-dominant logic perspective", *MIS*
22 *Quarterly*, Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 155-175.
23
24
25
26 MacInnis, D.J. (2011), "A framework for conceptual contributions in marketing", *Journal of*
27 *Marketing*, Vol. 75 No. 4, pp. 136-154.
28
29
30
31 Mager, B. (2017), "Service design impact report: Heath sector", Service Design Network, Köln,
32 Germany, Retrieved from: [https://www.service-design-network.org/books-and-reports/impact-report-](https://www.service-design-network.org/books-and-reports/impact-report-health-sector)
33 [health-sector](https://www.service-design-network.org/books-and-reports/impact-report-health-sector), 22.02.2018
34
35
36
37 Meyer, J. W., and Rowan, B. (1977), "Institutionalized organizations: formal structure as myth and
38 ceremony", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 83 No.2, pp. 340-363.
39
40
41
42 Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M. and Saldaña, J. (2014), *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Method*
43 *Sourcebook*, SAGE, California.
44
45
46
47 Murray, M. A. and Byrne, R. M. J. (2013). "Cognitive change in insight problem solving: initial model
48 errors and counterexamples". *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, Vol. 25, pp. 210-219.
49
50
51
52 North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*, Cambridge
53 University Press, Cambridge.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Núñez, R. (2006), “Do real numbers really move? language, thought, and gesture: the embodied
4 cognitive foundations of mathematics”, In Hersh, R. (Ed.), *Unconventional Essays on the Nature of*
5 *Mathematics*, Springer, New York, NY, pp. 160-181.
6
7
8
9
10 Patrício, L., Fisk, R.P., Falcão e Cunha, J., and Constantine, L. (2011), “Multilevel service design:
11 from customer value constellation to service experience blueprinting”, *Journal of Service Research*,
12 Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 180-200.
13
14
15
16 Patrício, L., Gustafsson, A. and Fisk, R. (2018), "Upframing service design and innovation for
17 research impact", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 3-16.
18
19
20
21 Prahalad, C. K., and Bettis, R. A. (1986), “The dominant logic: a new linkage between diversity and
22 performance”, *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 7 No. 6, pp. 485-501.
23
24
25
26 Rogers, Y. and Ellis, J. (1994), “Distributed cognition: an alternative framework for analysing and
27 explaining collaborative working”, *Journal of Information Technology*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 119–128.
28
29
30
31 Rylander, A. (2009), “Design thinking as knowledge work: epistemological foundations and practical
32 implications”, *Design Management Journal*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 7-19.
33
34
35
36 Sangiorgi, D. and Prendiville, A. (2015), “A theoretical framework for studying service design
37 practices: first steps to a mature field”, *Design Management Journal*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 61-73.
38
39
40 Schensul, S. L., Schensul, J. J. and LeCompte, M. D. (1999), *Essential Ethnographic Methods:*
41 *Observations, Interviews, and Questionnaires*, Vol. 2, AltaMira Press, Oxford.
42
43
44
45 Scott, W. R. (1995), *Institutions and Organizations: Foundations for Organizational Science*, SAGE,
46 London.
47
48
49 Siebert, S., Wilson, F., and Hamilton, J. R. (2017), ““Devils may sit here:” the role of enchantment in
50 institutional maintenance”, *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 60 No. 4, pp. 1607-1632.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Siltaloppi, J. (2015), *Framing Service as Ideology and Practice: Cognitive Underpinnings of Service*
4 *Transformation in Finland's Residential Sector*, Aalto University Publication Series Doctoral
5
6 Dissertations, No. 186, Aalto University School of Engineering.
7
8
9 Siltaloppi, J., Koskela-Huotari, K. and Vargo, S.L., (2016), "Institutional Complexity as a Driver for
10 Innovation in Service Ecosystems", *Service Science*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp.333-343.
11
12
13
14 Stephens, J.P. and Boland, B.J. (2015), "The aesthetic knowledge problem of problem-solving with
15 design thinking", *Journal of Management Inquiry*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 219–232.
16
17
18
19 Strandvik, T., Holmlund, M. and Grönroos, C. (2014), "The mental footprint of marketing in the
20 boardroom", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 241-252.
21
22
23
24 Stomppf, G., Smulders, F. and Henze, L. (2016), "Surprises are the benefits: reframing in
25 multidisciplinary design teams", *Design Studies*, No. 47, pp. 187-214.
26
27
28
29 Suddaby, R., Viale, T. and Gendron, Y., (2016), "Reflexivity: the role of embedded social position and
30 entrepreneurial social skill in processes of field level change", *Research in Organizational Behavior*,
31 Vol. 36, pp. 225-245.
32
33
34
35 Trischler, J., Kristensson, P. and Scott, D. (2018), "Team diversity and its management in a co-design
36 team", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 120-145.
37
38
39
40 Vargo, S. and Lusch, R. (2016), "Service-dominant logic 2025", *International Journal of Research in*
41 *Marketing*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 46-67.
42
43
44
45 Vargo, S., Wieland, H. and Akaka, M.A. (2015), "Innovation through Institutionalization: a service
46 ecosystems perspective", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 44 No.1, pp. 63–72.
47
48
49
50 Vink, J., Prestes Joly, M., Wetter-Edman, K., Tronvoll, B. and Edvardsson, B. (2019), "Changing the
51 rules of the game in healthcare through service design," Pfannstiel, M.A. and Rasche, C. (Eds.),
52 *Service Design and Service Thinking in the Healthcare and Hospital Management*, Springer
53 International Publishing AG, Cham, pp. (in print).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Vink, J., Wetter-Edman, K. and Aguirre, M. (2017), "Designing for aesthetic disruption: altering
4 mental models in social systems through designerly practices", *The Design Journal*, Vol. 20 Sup. 1,
5 pp. S2168-S2177.
6
7
8
9 Voronov, M. and Yorks, L. (2015), ""Did you notice that?" theorizing differences in the capacity to
10 apprehend institutional contradictions", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 40 No. 4, pp. 563-586.
11
12
13
14 Wang, V., Lee, S.Y.D. and Maciejewski, M.L. (2015), "Inertia in healthcare organizations: a case
15 study of peritoneal dialysis services", *Healthcare Management Review*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 203-213.
16
17
18
19 Wason, P. C. (1964), "The effect of self-contradiction on fallacious reasoning", *Quarterly Journal of*
20 *Experimental Psychology*, Vol. 16, pp. 30-34.
21
22
23
24 Wetter-Edman, K., Sangiorgi, D., Edvardsson, B., Holmlid, S., Grönroos, C. and Mattelmäki, T.
25 (2014), "Design for value co-creation: exploring synergies between design for service and service
26 logic," *Service Science*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 106-121.
27
28
29
30 Wetter-Edman, K., Vink, J. and Blomkvist, J. (2018), "Staging aesthetic disruption through design
31 methods for service innovation," *Design Studies*, Vol. 55, pp. 5-26.
32
33
34
35 Wilden, R., Akaka, M. A., Karpen, I. O. and Hohberger, J. (2017), "The evolution and prospects of
36 service-dominant logic: an investigation of past, present, and future research," *Journal of Service*
37 *Research*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 345-361.
38
39
40
41
42 Witell, L., Snyder, H., Gustafsson, A., Fombelle, P. and Kristensson, P. (2016), "Defining service
43 innovation: a review and synthesis", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 69 No. 8, pp. 2863-2872.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Service Design Practices	<i>Sensing Surprise</i>	<i>Perceiving Multiples</i>	<i>Embodying Alternatives</i>
Definition	Experiencing a bodily sensation that challenges an actor's existing mental model.	Becoming sensitive to alternative mental models through interaction with other actors.	Enacting different mental models to understand their implications.
Components	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An unexpected event or stimulus • New information is taken in through the senses • Feelings of shock or awe 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Several interpretations of one situation are recognized • Direct or indirect discussion with other actors • Feelings of conflict, uneasiness, or confusion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical testing of different ways of working • The process of iteration and adaptation • Feelings of uncertain optimism or frustration
Enabling Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intentional staging of a provocative situation • Coaching an actor to aid them in noticing new things • Actor's engagement and understanding of the context 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversity of actors • Openness and safety of actor • Visual and tangible tools • Skilled facilitation to support sharing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different context to explore possibilities • Supportive physical materials • Possibility for repetition and ongoing change in ways of working

Table 1. Types of service design practices and their enabling conditions

First Service Design Practice Enacted	Enabling Condition Created	Second Service Design Practice Triggered
Sensing surprise	Openness and safety actor	Perceiving multiples
Sensing surprise	Different context to explore possibilities	Embodying alternatives
Perceiving multiples	Actor's engagement and understanding of the context	Sensing surprise
Perceiving multiples	Supportive physical materials	Embodying alternatives
Embodying alternatives	Intentional staging of a provocative situation	Sensing surprise
Embodying alternatives	Several interpretations of one situation are recognized	Perceiving multiples

Table 2. Common combinations of service design practices

Appendix Document

Paper: Reshaping mental models – Enabling innovation through service design

Appendix 1

Figure A1. Observation framework

Title:	Activity and project or team	Date:	day/month/year
Description:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• what was seen, smelled, heard or felt• details on settings, actors, actions, interactions and conversations observed• photo or drawing of space and/or activity	Reflections:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• thoughts, impressions, and interpretations• inferred meaning of observations• speculate as to why a specific observation took place• ethnographer's impact on the observed situation
Emerging Questions & Analysis:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• relationship of observations/inferences to other observations and interviews• questions to explore in future observations or to follow-up on with actors involved		

Experio Lab Field Notes

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Appendix 2

#	Role	Related Service Design Project(s)
1	Project leader	Test Tube Trip, Patient Journey, Seniors Resource Center
2	Service designer	First Line, InForCare
3	Service designer	First Line
4	Communications staff	Seniors Resource Center, ECT Journey
5	Project leader	Contamination Free Room
6	External stakeholder	Patient Journey
7	Counselor	First Line
8	Educator	First Line
9	Technology support staff	Contamination Free Room
10	Patient safety manager	Test Tube Trip, Seniors Resource Center
11	Nurse/project leader	Chronically Involved
12	Service designer	Chronically Involved, Contamination Free Room
13	Doctor/project leader	Hospital Discharge
14	Service designer	Sexual Health for Newcomers

Table A1. List of interviewees and related service design projects

Appendix 3

Interview guide

To begin, the purpose of the research was introduced as an investigation into the role of service design in supporting innovation within the health system. Then, the interview process and the consent form were explained and the participants were asked to sign the form prior to answering any questions.

After the consent form was signed, the audio recorder was started.

Personal Connection to Service Design Projects

- Can you tell me about you and your role within the health system?
- What service design project(s) have you been involved in during your time here?

Changes from Service Design Project(s)

- Did you see or experience any changes that resulted from this/these project(s)? If so, what changed?
- What have been the most impactful experiences for you within this/these service design project(s)?
- What role do you think service design had in supporting these experiences?
- Was there anything in the service design project(s) that you thought got in the way of these changes occurring?

Shifts in Mental Models

- What would you say was your way of thinking about this work before the project?
- Did your way of thinking about this work shift in any way for you personally, or for others involved, in the process of the project?
- (If a change occurred) What aspects of the project do you think contributed to this/these changes?
- (If a change occurred) In what way has this affected your everyday work or your team's work?

1
2
3 *Closing*
4

- 5 - Is there anything else that you think is important to consider when investigating how service
6 design supports innovation in the healthcare system?
7
8
9 - Are there any activities coming up related to this/these project(s) that you think might be
10 valuable to observe?
11
12
13 - Is there anyone else that you think could provide another perspective on this/these service
14 design project(s)?
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Appendix 4

Project	Description	Key Activities
Test Tube Trip	The aim of this project was to reduce errors in the tissue and blood sampling process.	This project engaged service providers, including midwives, doctors, and technicians, in observing the test tube process through different departments in the hospital and prototyping new approaches that could help reduce errors.
Resource Centre 2.0	This project sought to improve security and independence for seniors by reimagining service within a retirement home.	This project involved staff from the county and municipalities as well as seniors in conducting interviews to understand seniors' needs and creating future scenarios for seniors' care in the region.
First Line	This project worked to develop new digital services to help young people with mental health needs do self-management and access care.	This project engaged frontline service providers and youth in workshops to understand mental health needs, build out ideas with Lego, storyboard, and walkthrough new service ideas, and test versions of a new mobile app.
The Contamination Free Room	The project explored the implications of changing the hospital bed, patient room, and backstage processes of the hospital to reduce hospital infections.	This project involved staff from the county, private companies and research partners in observations and role play within patient rooms as well as interviews and workshops to test new approaches to patient rooms and beds within the hospital.
Chronically Involved	This project worked to help patients with chronic disease become partners in	This project engaged different primary care teams in doing data gathering, mainly through patient interviews, and then developing and experimenting

	their own care within primary care settings.	with new approaches to service delivery that are more patient-centered.
Patient Journey	This project aimed was to understand what happens, from the patients' perspective, when different systems, competencies, and people meet along the patients' journey.	This project involved over two dozen healthcare providers that influenced the patient journey and engaged them reenactments, role-plays, journey mapping and interviews every Friday for eight weeks.

Table A2: The main Experio Lab service design projects studied

Appendix 5

Service Design Practices	Underlying Codes	Illustrative Quotation in Text (Interviewee #, Quotation #)
<i>Sensing Surprise</i>	Surprise	5, 1
	Using the senses	12, 1
	Triggers	1, 1
<i>Perceiving Multiples</i>	Multiplicity	5, 2
	Sharing	12, 2
	Interaction	8, 1
<i>Embodying Alternatives</i>	Enacting	13, 1
	Experimentation	14, 1
	New ways of working	12, 3

Table A3: The underlying codes and illustrative evidence of service design practices

Appendix 6

Enabling Condition	Illustrative Examples from Interviews, Observations and Archival Data	
	<i>Positive Example</i>	<i>Negative Example</i>
<i>Sensing Surprise</i>		
Intentional staging of a provocative situation	Care situations were set up for hospital staff to experience in specific patient roles, which enabled staff to challenge their mental model of the patient experience.	In one workshop, participants were mainly reflecting on their own experiences using post-it notes, but the environment did not prompt them to share new thoughts or information that challenged anyone's existing mental models.
Coaching an actor to aid them in noticing new things	Staff from different departments in the hospital observed the process of tissue sampling with a design lead who asked them questions about what they saw, smelled and felt, which led to staff being surprised about the sampling process.	When one clinical team was role playing different approaches to the patient experience, participants did not feel like they learned anything new, but they were not fully prompted at that time to reflect on the nuances of their different interactions.
Actor's engagement and understanding of the context	Primary care staff did interviews with patients in their homes and seeing them in this context enabled staff to disrupt their own mental models about their own role in patients' lives.	Staff spent a day walking through the steps of the patient's journey, but some staff who understood limited Swedish and whose work did not closely connect with the specific journey, did not engage enough to

		provoke any reflections on their mental models.
<i>Perceiving Multiples</i>		
Diversity of actors	Hospital staff from across clinical, information technology and lab departments that usually blamed each other for errors, were brought together to understand the dynamics around tissue sampling from the perspective of other departments.	There were different staff present for the walk through of a patient journey, but no patients who had been through the process were able to be recruited so it was difficult for participating staff to apprehend the patient perspective in relation to the experience.
Openness and safety of actor	Designers went for walks with individual caregivers, which enabled an intimate environment where caregivers could share their perspectives and the designers could understand their different mental models.	Doctors were coloring in a silhouette of themselves and sharing their personal motivations, but in one workshop there was not enough safety within the team for participants to be vulnerable and share their perspectives with others on the practice of medicine.
Visual and tangible tools	Participants' were invited to try out a two-way stethoscope where both the patient and the provider could listen at the same time. This constructed object helped providers reflect on the perspective of the patient and power dynamics of the patient-provider interaction.	Staff reflected on post-it notes about how they could improve patient safety from different perspectives but found it difficult to draw insights out from the wall of words they had created.

Skilled facilitation to support sharing	The dialogue started by listening to a patient story. Then the service designer facilitated a process of sharing reflections and probed into participants conclusions, which enabled them to understand each other's mental models of the situation.	The manager of the department did a lot of the talking at the mapping session, limiting the ability for the patients and other staff to share their perspectives and hear the perspectives of others.
<i>Embodying Alternatives</i>		
Different context to explore possibilities	The design team went to an airport to understand the protocol for flight safety and this helped to inspire them to enacting alternative approaches to patient safety.	At the workshop in the administrative building, clinical staff felt freer to explore alternative mental models, but administrative staff had trouble getting outside of their regular ways of seeing and doing things.
Supportive physical materials	Using a paper mock-up of an app, youth were able to walk through an alternative approach to the new clinical intake process and provide feedback.	In one role play that was not supported with additional materials, participants hesitated to try out the different approaches to the doctor-patient relationship, saying that they felt silly and the role play did not feel real.
Possibility for repetition and ongoing change in	In the different units that the doctor worked in, he had the autonomy over his own practice and was able	The clinicians tried to spend time exploring new models for the clinic, but their colleagues did not support

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ways of working	to continue trying out new types of patient charts to guide his conversations with patients.	them taking so much time away from their regular practice so they were pressured to stop.
-----------------	--	---

Table A4: Illustrative evidence of enabling conditions


Journal of Service Management

Appendix 7

Types of Institutional Work	Illustrative Example from Observations & Archival Data
Creating institutions	In the Chronically Involved project, primary care team members worked with patients to enact new ways of having conversations and new service offerings that helped establish patients as partners in their own care. In doing so, the primary care unit created new norms and helped to institutionalize a person-centered care approach within the clinic. This project also led to further work by participants involved to support large-scale implementation of the person-centered approach across healthcare contexts.
Disrupting institutions	In the Patient Journey project, the experiences that hospital staff had role playing and reflecting on the patient experience contributed to eroding their professional roles and identities as they felt that their existing practices were not always aligned with a positive patient experience. This triggered participants to work toward changes in protocol within their units, shift their roles and advocate for policy changes within the hospital.
Maintaining institutions	In the Test Tube Trip project, there was an awareness that some of the standards and protocols for tissue sampling were not being followed within the hospital. Through the service design process, different clinicians who did tissue sampling shared with each other how they did the sampling, what works, what does not and why they did what they did when sampling. A checklist was collaboratively developed to reinforce the proper protocol and a train-the-trainer model was set up for clinicians to educate other clinicians on the proper sampling approach.


Table A5: Illustrative evidence of institutional work

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



The micro-macro relations of innovation in service ecosystems through service design

108x59mm (150 x 150 DPI)



*contribute(s) to = \longleftrightarrow , possible sequence = $\leftarrow - \rightarrow$

Micro-level dynamics of innovation through service design practices

94x55mm (150 x 150 DPI)